arXiv:1612.06173v1 [math.CV] 19 Dec 2016

RAPID POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION ON STEIN MANIFOLDS

AUÐUNN SKÚTA SNÆBJARNARSON

Abstract. Let X be a Stein manifold and $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ a plurisubharmonic exhaustion function. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ the vector space of entire functions f on X satisfying $\log|f(z)| \leq t\psi^+(z) + C$ on X for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Assuming certain curvature restrictions on the $(1,1)$-form $\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}e^{\psi}$ we prove a theorem describing the equivalence between possible holomorphic continuation of a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ ($K \subset X$ compact) and the decay of the function $t \to d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as $t \to \infty$ where $d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi})$ is the best uniform approximation of f on K by functions from $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$. This theorem can be thought of as a generalization of a theorem by Siciak [15]. We also prove a generalization of Winiarski's theorem [18] relating the growth of an entire function f to the decay of the function $t \to d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi})$. As a corollary we give sufficient conditions for the space $\mathcal{P}^{\psi} := \cup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ to be dense in the Fréchet space $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of holomorphic functions on X.

Introduction

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_n$ the set of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^N$ of degree less than or equal to n. For a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, a function f on K, and a family of functions $\mathcal{A}$ on K we define the distance between f and $\mathcal{A}$ on K by

$$d_K(f, \mathcal{A}) := \inf\{\|f - a\|_K;\ a \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_K$ is the sup-norm on K. Using the notation presented, we can state the famous Oka-Weil Theorem in a somewhat unusual way:

If $K \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is compact and polynomially convex, then
$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_n) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{O}(K)$.

In [15] Siciak proves a precise quantitative version of the Oka-Weil theorem. More specifically, provided certain regularity conditions on K, he proves that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_n)\right)^{1/n} \leq L^{-1} \tag{1}$$

if and only if f extends as a holomorphic function to $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^N;\ V_K(z) < \log(L)\}$. Here V_K denotes the Siciak-Zakharyuta extremal function defined as the supremum of all entire plurisubharmonic functions u of minimal growth with $u|_K \leq 0$ (see for example [16] or [12]). Taking Siciak's theorem into consideration, we say that a

function f admits to rapid approximation by polynomials on K if (1) is true for some $L > 1$.

Goncar [9, 10, 11] and Cirka [5, 6] prove theorems regarding rapid approximation by rational functions on $\mathbb{C}^N$ (defined in an analogous way).

Following Aytuna and Sadullaev [1, 2, 3] we say that a manifold X of complex dimension N is S-parabolic if it possesses a plurisubharmonic (psh) exhaustion function ψ satisfying $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^N = 0$ outside a compact subset of X [1] . We say that an entire function f on X is a ψ-polynomial if there are constants $t, C \geq 0$ such that

$$\log|f(z)| \leq t\psi^+(z) + C, \qquad z \in X.$$

In their work [3], Aytuna and Sadullev consider the Fréchet-space $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of holomorphic functions on X. They construct an example of an S-parabolic manifold where the ψ-polynomials are not dense in $\mathcal{O}(X)$.

Zeriahi [20, 21] introduces analogues of classical pluripotential theory to S-parabolic Stein manifolds. In the case when X is an algebraic variety he uses this theory to prove a theorem describing rapid polynomial approximation of holomorphic functions on compact subsets of X. He also proves a generalization to algebraic varieties of Winiarski's theorem [18] relating the growth of an entire function to the rate of convergence of its best polynomial approximation.

In this paper we consider a Stein manifold X, which is not necessarily S-parabolic, but rather has an exhaustion function ψ such that the $(1,1)$-form $\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}e^{\psi}$ satisfies certain curvature properties (which we discuss in detail in Section 1). When the curvature properties in question are satisfied, we prove a theorem on rapid approximation on compact subsets of X. We also prove a generalization of Winiarski's theorem to such manifolds. As a corollary we find sufficient conditions for the space of ψ-polynomials on X to be dense in the Fréchet space $\mathcal{O}(X)$.

Our main results are stated in Section 1 and proven in Section 3. In Section 2 we look at several examples of functions ψ satisfying the aforementioned curvature properties.

This paper was written while the author was visiting the Mathematical Institute of Toulouse at the University of Paul Sabatier in the spring semester of 2016 and he would like to give thanks to the complex geometry research group as well as to the other doctoral students of the institute for their hospitality. He would also like to thank his doctoral advisor, Ragnar Sigurðsson, for reviewing this paper, and giving notes and helpful comments. This project was funded by The Doctoral Grants of The University of Iceland Research Fund and by The Icelandic Center for Research (Rannís), the latter giving it the project grant number: 152572-052. The author thanks his funders.

[1] The current $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^N$ being calculated in the sense of Bedford and Taylor [4] if ψ is not necessarily smooth but locally bounded outside a compact subset of X.

1. Results

Let X be a Stein manifold and $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be a psh exhaustion function. As an analogue to the classical Lelong class $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$ we define the ψ-Lelong class on X to be the set

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi := \{u \in \mathrm{PSH}(X);\ \exists C \geq 0 \ \text{ such that } \ u \leq \psi^+ + C \ \text{ on } \ X\}$$

where $\psi^+ := \max\{\psi, 0\}$ is the positive part of ψ, and we write

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi^+ := \{u \in \mathcal{L}_\psi;\ u \ \text{ is exhaustive and } \ \psi \in \mathcal{L}_u\},$$

i.e. $\mathcal{L}_\psi^+$ is the set of psh exhaustion functions u on X satisfying $\psi - C_1 \leq u \leq \psi + C_2$ on $X \setminus F$ for some constants C_1, C_2 and some compact set F.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be a psh exhaustion function and $t > 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ the set of holomorphic functions f on X satisfying $\log|f| \in \mathcal{L}_{t\psi}$ and we write $\mathcal{P}^\psi = \cup_{t>0}\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$. We say that f is a ψ-polynomial if $f \in \mathcal{P}^\psi$ and the ψ-degree of f is $\deg_\psi(f) := \inf\{t > 0; f \in \mathcal{P}_{t\psi}\}$.*

The space $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ is of particular interest in the case when the function ψ is maximal outside some compact subset of X because then it is of finite dimension.

Theorem 1.2 ([20], Théorème 4.8)**.** *Assume ψ is a psh exhaustion function on X which is maximal outside a compact subset of X. Then the space $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ is of finite dimension for any $t > 0$. Further, there exists a constant M such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_{n\psi} \leq \binom{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X + nM}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X}.$$

If α is a smooth, strictly positive $(1,1)$-form on a domain $U \subset X$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = N$ we denote by $dV_\alpha := \alpha^N/N!$ the volume form induced by α and if $A \subset U$ is measurable we denote by $V_\alpha(A) := \int_A dV_\alpha$ the α-volume of A. If $z \in U$ and $r > 0$ we denote by $B_r^\alpha(z, U) \subset U$ the geodesic ball with center z and radius r in the (possibly non-complete) Hermitian manifold[2] (U, α), i.e. $B_r^\alpha(z, U)$ is the set of points $w \in U$ such that the geodesic distance between w and z is less than r. We denote the Ricci curvature form of α by $\mathrm{Ricci}(\alpha)$. If $\alpha_{j,k}$ are the coefficients of α with respect to a given coordinate system then the coefficients of $\mathrm{Ricci}(\alpha)$ with respect to the same coordinate system are given by

$$\mathrm{Ricci}(\alpha) = -i\partial\bar\partial \log(\det(\alpha_{j,k})).$$

Definition 1.3. *Let $\psi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X)$ and write $\omega_\psi = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar\partial e^\psi$. Let $U \subset X$ be open and assume that ω_ψ is strictly positive and smooth on U.*

(i) Let $\theta \in \mathrm{PSH}(X)$. We say that θ is a Ricci-compensator for ψ on U if it is continuous on X, strictly psh on some open sublevel set of ψ containing $X \setminus U$, there exist constants $C, T > 0$ large enough such that

$$|\theta| \leq T\psi^+ + C \qquad \text{on } \ X \tag{2}$$

[2]As is standard we abuse notation by representing the metric whose fundamental form is α with the same symbol.

and

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\theta + \mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_\psi) \geq 0 \qquad \text{on } U.$$

If there exists a Ricci-compensator for ψ on U then we say that ψ is Ricci compensable on U.

(ii) We say that ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on U if for every $\delta > 0$ there are constants C_1, C_2 such that for every $z \in U$ and every function g holomorphic in a neighborhood of the ball $\overline{B}_\delta^{\omega_\psi}(z, U)$ we have

$$|g(z)|^2 \leq e^{C_1\psi^+(z)+C_2} \int_{B_\delta^{\omega_\psi}(z,U)} |g|^2 dV_{\omega_\psi}.$$

(iii) We say that the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order $\varrho > 0$ on U if there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for every $L \geq 1$ we have

$$V_{\omega_\psi}(\{z \in U;\ \psi(z) \leq \log(L)\}) \leq e^{C_1 L^\varrho + C_2}.$$

If the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order ϱ on U for every $\varrho > 0$ we say that the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order 0 on U.

Remark. Since ω_ψ is not necessarily strictly positive and smooth on all of X we can not think of it as a metric unless we restrict ourself to U. For the time being we should think of (U, ω_ψ) as a possibly non-complete Kähler manifold satisfying the above properties.

In Section 2 we give several explicit examples of Stein manifolds X and functions ψ on X satisfying conditions $(i) - (iii)$ of Definition 1.3 outside some compact subset of X.

Since the mapping $\psi \to \omega_\psi$ is not linear, none of the above definitions are in general stable under multiplication of ψ by a positive constant, i.e. if ψ satisfies any of $(i) - (iii)$ and $a > 0$ then it is not generally the case that $a\psi$ satisfies the corresponding property. If we further assume that ψ is maximal on U, i.e. that $(i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^N = 0$ on U, then it is a matter of linear algebra to show that $\omega_\psi^N = e^{N\psi}\partial\psi \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^{N-1} \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi$. By applying this equality we can show that Definition 1.3 is stable under multiplication by a positive constants in the following sense.

Proposition 1.4. *Let ψ be a psh exhaustion function on X, a be a positive real number and let $U \subset X$ be open. Assume ψ is maximal on U and that ω_ψ and $\omega_{a\psi}$ are smooth and strictly positive on U.*

(i) If $a > 0$ and ψ is Ricci compensable on U then $a\psi$ is Ricci compensable on U.

(ii) If $0 < a < 1$ and ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on U then $a\psi$ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on U.

(iii) If the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order ϱ on U and either $a > 1$ or ψ is bounded from below on U then the sublevel sets of $a\psi$ have growth rate of order ϱ/a on U.

All our results are proven in Section 3. We observe that properties from Definition 1.3 are inherited through coverings in the following sense.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\eta : Y \to X$ be a holomorphic covering of X with finite fibers and let U be an open subset of X.*

(i) If θ is a psh function on X such that $\eta^*\theta$ is a Ricci compensator for $\eta^*\psi$ on $\eta^{-1}(U)$ then θ is a Ricci compensator for ψ on U.
(ii) If ψ is an exhaustion function on X such that $\eta^*\psi$ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on $\eta^{-1}(U)$ then ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on U.
(iii) If the sublevel sets of $\eta^*\psi$ have growth rate of order ϱ on $\eta^{-1}(U)$, then the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of the same order on U.

We introduce the analogue of an extremal function on $\mathbb{C}^N$ to Stein manifolds in the following way.

Definition 1.6. *Let ψ be a psh exhaustion function on X. We say that a function $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X)$ is ψ-extremal with respect to the compact set $K \subset X$ if $\varphi \geq 0$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{P}_{n\psi}$ such that $|f| \leq 1$ on K we have $\log|f| \leq n\varphi$ on X.*

If there exists a function φ which is ψ-extremal with respect to some compact set K then clearly we have the estimate

$$\log(\Phi_{K,\psi}(z)) \leq \varphi(z), \qquad z \in X \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Phi_{K,\psi}(z) := \sup\{|f(z)|^{1/n}; f \in \mathcal{P}_{n\psi},\ \|f\|_K \leq 1,\ n \geq 1\}.$$

If also $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\psi$ and $\varphi|_K \leq 0$, then we have

$$\varphi(z) \leq \sup\{u(z); u \in \mathcal{L}_\psi,\ u|_K \leq 0\} =: V_{K,\psi}(z), \qquad z \in X \tag{4}$$

because φ itself is a member of the set on the right hand side. In the case when $X = \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\psi(z) = \log\|z\|$ the function $\Phi_K := \Phi_{K,\psi}$ was originally introduced by Siciak [15] in order to extend classical results of approximation and interpolation to holomorphic functions of several complex variables. Later, Zakharyuta [19] defined the extremal function $V_K := V_{K,\psi}$ as the right hand side of (4) (still with $X = \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\psi = \log\|z\|$). It is well known that $\log(\Phi_K) = V_K$ for every compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ (see for example [12, Theorem 5.1.7]). Siciak [16] proves that the function V_K is locally bounded on $\mathbb{C}^N$ iff K is non-pluripolar in $\mathbb{C}^N$. In this case the upper semi-continuous regularization V_K^* of V_K belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}_{\log\|z\|}$. Moreover the Bernstein-Walsh inequality holds: If U is a neighborhood of the compact set K then

$$\|p\|_U \leq \left(\sup_{z\in U} e^{nV_K(z)}\right)\|p\|_K, \qquad p \in \mathcal{P}_{n\log\|z\|},\ n \geq 1.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{P}_{n\log\|z\|}$ equals the standard polynomial space $\mathcal{P}_n$. For results on more general spaces, we refer to [14] and [22].

The functions $V_{K,\psi}$ and $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi})$ are not equal in general. In [3] Aytuna and Sadullaev construct an example of a psh exhaustion function ψ on a Stein manifold X which is maximal outside a compact subset of X and the polynomial space $\mathcal{P}^\psi$ only contains the constant functions. On such a manifold we have $V_{K,\psi} < \infty$ on X

for every non-pluripolar K and $V_{K,\psi} > 0$ outside a compact subset of X but clearly $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi}) \equiv 0$.

We are ready for our first main result.

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be a Stein manifold and ψ be a psh exhaustion function on X. Assume there exists a compact set $F \subset X$ such that the $(1,1)$-form $\omega_\psi = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}e^\psi$ is smooth and strictly positive on $X \setminus F$. Further assume that ψ is Ricci compensable and induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on $X \setminus F$. Then the following holds:*

Let $K \subset X$ be compact and $f : K \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function on K. Then for any continuous psh exhaustion function $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^+_\psi$ satisfying $\varphi|_K \leq 0$ we have the following. If f is the restriction to K of a function holomorphic on $\{z \in X;\ \varphi(z) < \log(L)\}$ for some $L \in]1, \infty[$ then

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left(d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi})\right)^{1/t} \leq L^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Now abandon the assumption that φ is continuous and ≤ 0 on K. If φ is ψ-extremal with respect to K then the converse of the previous statement is true, i.e. if inequality (5) is true then f extends as a holomorphic function to $\{z \in X;\ \varphi(z) < \log(L)\}$.

The functions $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^*$ and $V^*_{K,\psi}$ are equal when the conclusion of Theorem 1.7 is true in the following sense:

Proposition 1.8. *Let $K \subset X$ be compact and assume that $V_{K,\psi} < \infty$ on X. Further assume that the following two statements are true:*

- *(i) For any $L > 1$ and any function f holomorphic on the set $\{z \in X;\ V^*_{K,\psi}(z) < \log(L)\}$, inequality (5) is true.*
- *(ii) Any connected component of any sublevel set of $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^*$ contains a connected component of K.*

Then $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^* = V^*_{K,\psi}$ on X.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.7 we have the following.

Corollary 1.9. *If ψ is Ricci compensable and induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions outside a compact set $F \subset X$ then $\mathcal{P}^\psi$ is dense in the Fréchet-space $\mathcal{O}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, $K \subset X$ be compact and $\epsilon > 0$. We want to find $p \in \mathcal{P}^\psi$ such that $\|f - p\|_K \leq \epsilon$. By possibly making K larger, we can assume that $K = \{\psi \leq S\}$ for some $S > 0$. Then the function $\varphi := \psi - S$ satisfies the criteria of Theorem 1.7. Since f is entire the constant L from inequality (5) can be chosen arbitrarily large and by Theorem 1.7 we can find the desired $p \in \mathcal{P}_{t\psi} \subset \mathcal{P}^\psi$ for t large enough. □

We present our second main result, which was proven by Winiarski [18] for the special case $X = \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\psi = \log\|z\|$.

Theorem 1.10. *Let X, F and ψ satisfy the same criteria as in Theorem 1.7. Further, assume that the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order $\varrho_0 \geq 0$ on $X \setminus F$. Then the following holds:*

Let $K \subset X$ be compact and $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^+_\psi$ be continuous and assume $\varphi|_K \leq 0$. If $\varrho > \varrho_0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ are constants such that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log^+ \log \|f\|_{\{\varphi\leq \log(r)\}}}{\log(r)} \leq \varrho \tag{6}$$

and

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log \|f\|_{\{\varphi\leq \log(r)\}}}{r^\varrho} \leq \sigma \tag{7}$$

then

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} t(d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi}))^{\varrho/t} \leq e\sigma\varrho. \tag{8}$$

If we also assume that φ is ψ-extremal with respect to K, then conversely, if inequality (8) holds, then inequalities (6) *and* (7) *do as well. (Here we take $\log^+(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$.)*

Both Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 are based on our third main result, in which we give an estimate for $d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi})$.

Theorem 1.11. *Let X be a Stein manifold and $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be a psh exhaustion function on X. Assume there exists a compact set F such that ω_ψ is smooth and strictly positive on $X \setminus F$ and ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on $X \setminus F$. Let $K \subset X$ be compact and φ be a continuous psh function on X satisfying:*

(i) There is a constant $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_0 i\partial\bar\partial\varphi + \mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_\psi) \geq 0$ on $X \setminus F$,
(ii) $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\psi$,
(iii) $\varphi|_K \leq 0$,
(iv) φ is strictly psh on some sublevel set of ψ, $\{\psi < c\}$, containing the set F.

Let $L > 1$, f be a function holomorphic on the set $\{z \in X;\ \varphi(z) < \log(L)\}$ and $\epsilon \in]0, (L-1)/2[$. Then there are constants M, l, T_0 such that for any $t \geq T_0$ we have

$$d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi}) \leq M\|f\|_{\{\varphi\leq \log(L-\epsilon/2)\}} \left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{L-\epsilon}\right)^{t-l}. \tag{9}$$

The constants l, T_0 do not depend on f or L and M does not depend on f. If L is large enough we can write $M = M_0\|\bar\partial\chi\|_{L^2(X\setminus F, dV_{\omega_\psi})}$ where M_0 is a constant not depending on f or L and $\chi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is any C^∞ cutoff function with $\chi = 1$ on $\{\varphi < \log(L-\epsilon)\}$ and $\chi = 0$ on $\{\varphi > \log(L-\epsilon/2)\}$. Here $\|\bar\partial\chi\|_{L^2(X\setminus F, dV_{\omega_\psi})}$ denotes the L^2 norm of $\bar\partial\chi$ on $X \setminus F$ with respect to the measure dV_{ω_ψ} and the natural norm on $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*_X$ induced by the metric ω_ψ.

Consider an arbitrary Stein manifold X. In order to apply our results to X we must find a psh exhaustion function ψ on X satisfying the properties described in Definition 1.3 outside some compact set $F \subset X$. Optimally the function ψ should have, in some sense, slow growth rate at infinity because a slow growth rate implies that the ψ-polynomial space $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ is small. If X is S-parabolic, i.e. if there exists a psh exhaustion function $\tilde{\psi}$ which is maximal outside some compact subset of X, then we would like ψ to be in $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\psi}}$ because then Theorem 1.2 implies that $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ is finite dimensional for every $t > 0$. If X is not S-parabolic there is no obvious way of telling if ψ grows slowly at infinity or not; there is not even an obvious way to give meaning to this question since we don't really have anything to compare ψ to.

Now let ψ satisfy the conditions from Definition 1.3, let $K \subset X$ be compact and f be a function holomorphic on some neighborhood Ω of K. Theorem 1.11 describes how accurately the function f can be uniformly approximated by functions from $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ on K for any given $t > 0$ and Theorem 1.7 describes the asymptotic behavior of the function $d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi})$ as $t \to \infty$. Both descriptions are given in terms of the size of Ω. In the case when $\Omega = X$ we can describe the asymptotic behavior of $d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi})$ in terms of the growth rate of the function f in comparison with ψ; this is the content of Theorem 1.10.

2. Examples

In this section we look at several examples of Stein manifolds along with psh exhaustion functions which satisfy the properties of Definition 1.3. Those are essentially examples of manifolds where our main results can be applied. We start by giving a brief overview of the conditions needed for each result: Let ψ be a psh exhaustion function on a Stein manifold X and let $F \subset X$ be compact.

(i) If ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on $X \setminus F$, then Theorem 1.11 can be applied.
(ii) If ψ is Ricci compensable and induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on $X \setminus F$, then Theorem 1.7 can be applied.
(iii) If ψ is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions and the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of any order $\varrho \geq 0$ on $X \setminus F$, then Theorem 1.10 can be applied.

It turns out that in many cases we can verify that a given function ψ satisfies the above properties through elementary calculus, although it can be quite a bit of work to do so. This is the case for most of the examples that follow. Therefore, for the convenience of the reader who doesn't want to go into all the technicalities, we present each example as a proposition followed by a brief discussion and then we give a proof.

In what follows we denote by ω_0 the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}^N$, by $dV = \omega_0^N/N!$ the standard volume form, by $B(z, R)$ and $\mathbb{D}^N(z, R)$ the Euclidean ball and the polydisk with center z and radius R respectively, by s_{2N} and v_{2N} the surface area and volume of the unit ball, respectively, for $f \in C^1$ we write $\nabla f = (\partial f/\partial z_1, ..., \partial f/\partial z_N)$ and

for $z = (z_1, ..., z_N), w = (w_1, ..., w_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ we write $\langle z, w\rangle = z_1\bar{w}_1 + ... + z_N\bar{w}_N$. Also, whenever we are working in a local coordinate chart, we use the same notation for the Euclidean geometry with respect to those coordinates. If ω is a smooth positive $(1,1)$-form on X and w is a tangent vector on X we denote by $\omega(w)$ the square of the length of w with respect to the metric whose fundamental form is ω i.e. if $w = (w_1, ..., w_N)$ and

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j,k}\omega_{j,k}dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k$$

with respect to some local coordinate chart $(z_1, ..., z_N)$ then

$$\omega(w) = \sum_{j,k}\omega_{j,k}w_j\bar{w}_k.$$

Polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^N$

We start with the most simple example.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $X = \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\psi = \log\|z\|$, then ψ is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions and the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order 0 on $X \setminus \overline{B}(0,1)$.*

In the case when X, ψ are as in Proposition 2.1 the space $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ equals the standard polynomial space $\mathcal{P}_{\lfloor t\rfloor}$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$ for every t. Now let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be compact. If the Siciak-Zakharyuta extremal function, V_K, for K is continuous we can apply Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 with $\psi = \log\|z\|$ and $\varphi = V_K$. This special case is a result of Siciak [15] in the case of Theorem 1.7 and a result of Winiarski [18] in the case of Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Clearly we have $\omega_{2\psi} = \omega_0$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$. The metric ω_0 is Ricci flat so the function 2ψ is Ricci compensable on $\mathbb{C}^N$. It follows from the standard sub-mean-value theorem for psh functions that 2ψ induces an integral estimates for holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^N$ and we have $V_{\omega_0}(\{2\psi(z) \le \log(L)\}) = v_{2N}L^N$ so the sublevel sets of 2ψ have growth rate of order 0 on $\mathbb{C}^N$. Now, since ψ is bounded from below on the set $\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \overline{B}(0,1)$, by applying Proposition 1.4 we see that the function ψ is Ricci compensable and induces an integral estimate on $\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \overline{B}(0,1)$. Furthermore the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order 0 on $\mathbb{C}^N \setminus \overline{B}(0,1)$. □

There certainly does not exist a sub-mean-value theorem in geodesic balls for psh functions on a general Hermitian manifold (X, ω). Therefore we have to use a different technique to show that a given function ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions. In most of our remaining examples we refer to the following lemma to do this.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that ω_ψ is smooth and strictly positive on X, that there exist functions $\epsilon, M : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ satisfying*

$$\epsilon(z) \ge e^{-(C_1\psi^+(z)+C_2)} \text{ and } M(z) \le e^{C_1\psi^+(z)+C_2}, \qquad z \in X,$$

and that for every $z \in X$, there is a coordinate patch $\xi : B(0,\epsilon(z)) \to X$ with $\xi(0) = z$, $\xi^*\omega_\psi \leq M(z)\omega_0$ and $dV \leq M(z)dV_{\xi^*\omega_\psi}$ on $B(0,\epsilon(z))$. Then ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on X.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ and write $r(z) = \delta_1 \min\{\epsilon(z), (M(z))^{-1}\}$ where $\delta_1 = \min\{1,\delta\}$. Since $\xi^*\omega_\psi \leq M(z)\omega_0$ on $B(0,\epsilon(z))$ we have

$$\xi(B(0,r(z))) \subset B^{\omega_\psi}_{\delta_1}(z) \subset B^{\omega_\psi}_{\delta}(z).$$

Let g be a function holomorphic in a neighborhood of some ball $\overline{B}^{\omega_\psi}_{\delta}(z)$. Then by the standard sub-mean-value inequality on $\mathbb{C}^N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(z)|^2 = |g\circ\xi(0)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{v_{2N}(r(z))^{2N}} \int_{B(0,r(z))} |g\circ\xi|^2 dV \\
&\leq \frac{1}{v_{2N}\delta_1^{2N}} e^{(2N+1)(C_1\psi^+(z)+C_2)} \int_{B(0,r(z))} |g\circ\xi|^2 dV_{\xi^*\omega_\psi} \\
&\leq e^{C_3\psi^+(z)+C_4} \int_{B^{\omega_\psi}_{\delta}(z)} |g|^2 dV_{\omega_\psi}
\end{aligned}$$

where C_3 and C_4 are new constants depending on δ but independent of g or z. □

Complement of the zero set of a holomorphic function

We consider two different exhaustion functions in the case when X is the complement of the zero set of a holomorphic function.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function and write $X = \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{F = 0\}$.*

(i) If F is a polynomial then the function $\psi_1 = \log(|F|^{-2} + \|z\|^2)$ is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions and has sublevel sets of growth rate 0 on X. The space $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi_1}$ is finite dimensional for every $t > 0$. Indeed, if f is in $\mathcal{P}_{n\psi}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can write $f = PF^{-2n}$ where P is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n(\deg(F)+1)$.

(ii) Let $\psi_2 = \log(|F|^2 + |F|^{-2} + \|z\|^2)$. If F satisfies the estimate

$$\log\|\nabla F\| \leq A_1\psi_2^+ + A_2 \tag{10}$$

on X for some constants $A_1, A_2 > 0$, then ψ_2 is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions and has sublevel sets of growth rate 0 on X.

If F is not a polynomial then the Ricci curvature of ω_{ψ_1} may grow too rapidly in order for us to find a Ricci compensator for ψ_1. Therefore we consider the function ψ_2 instead. The curvature of the $(1,1)$-form ω_{ψ_2} clearly depends on the gradient of F and therefore the assumption (10) is necessary in general. There are some non-polynomial functions which satisfy (10), for instance if

$$F(z) = e^{z_1+\cdots+z_N} + P(z)$$

for some polynomial P of degree m then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla F\|^2 &\leq N|e^{z_1+\cdots+z_N}|^2 + \|\nabla P\|^2 \\ &\leq 2N(|e^{z_1+\cdots+z_N} + P(z)|^2 + |P(z)|^2) + \|\nabla P\|^2 \\ &\leq 2N|F(z)|^2 + D(1+\|z\|^2)^m\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for some constant D. It is easy to see that (11) implies inequality (10). Of course there are many functions not satisfying (10) as well, for instance if

$$F(z_1, z_2, z_3) = e^{z_1} + e^{z_2} + z_3$$

then we have $\|\nabla F\|^2 = |e^{z_1}|^2 + |e^{z_2}|^2 + 1$. Consider the mapping $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X,\ x \to (x, x + i\pi, 1)$. The function $\|(\nabla F) \circ \gamma(x)\|^2$ grows exponentially as $x \to \infty$, but since $F \circ \gamma \equiv 1$ the function $|F \circ \gamma(x)|^2 + |F \circ \gamma(x)|^{-2} + \|\gamma(x)\|^2$ has polynomial growth as $x \to \infty$. Therefore we cannot find A_1, A_2 large enough such that (10) is satisfied.

It is difficult to say something about the ψ_2-polynomial space in general. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\mathcal{P}_{n\psi_2}$ contains functions of the type P/F^n where P is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ but it can contain many other functions.

Before we prove Proposition 2.3 we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f \in C^1([0,\delta])$ be strictly positive and assume that $f'(x) \leq ax^b f(x)^c$ where $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$ and $c > 1$. Then $f(x) \leq 2f(0)$ for all $x \in [0, \epsilon]$, where*

$$\epsilon = \min\left\{\delta, \left(\frac{(1-2^{-(c-1)})(b+1)}{a(c-1)f(0)^{c-1}}\right)^{1/(b+1)}\right\}.$$

Proof. Let g be the function satisfying $g' = ax^b g^c$ and $g(0) = f(0)$. Then g is given by $g(x) = f(0)(1 - \alpha x^{b+1})^{-1/(c-1)}$ for $x < \alpha^{-(b+1)}$, where $\alpha = \dfrac{a(c-1)f(0)^{c-1}}{b+1}$ and $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for $0 \leq x < \min\{\delta, \alpha^{-(b+1)}\}$. The estimate follows by observing that g is increasing and $g(((1-2^{-(c-1)})/\alpha)^{1/(b+1)}) = 2f(0)$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.3. First we show that $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi_1}$ is finite dimensional in the case of (i). If $f \in \mathcal{P}_{n\psi_1}$ then there exists a constant D such that

$$|f| \leq D(\|z\|^2 + |F|^{-2})^n \leq 2^n D(\|z\|^{2n} + |F|^{-2n}) \tag{12}$$

on X. By Riemann's Theorem of Removable Singularities we see that the function $F^{2n}f$ extends as a holomorphic function to $\mathbb{C}^N$, and further, inequality (12) implies that $F^{2n}f$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n(\deg(F)+1)$ and the result follows.

We prove the rest of (i) and (ii) simultaneously. Direct calculations give

$$\omega_{\psi_1} = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\left(\delta_{j,k} + |F|^{-4}\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial \bar{z}_k}\right)dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_k,$$

$$\omega_{\psi_2} = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\left(\delta_{j,k} + (1+|F|^{-4})\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial \bar{z}_k}\right)dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_k,$$

and if w is a tangent vector we have

$$\omega_{\psi_1}(w) = |w|^2 + |F|^{-4}|\langle \nabla F, \bar{w}\rangle|^2,\ \omega_{\psi_2}(w) = |w|^2 + (1+|F|^{-4})|\langle \nabla F, \bar{w}\rangle|^2.$$

Both of the $(1,1)$-forms have 1 as an eigenvalue of multiplicity $N-1$ and the largest eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1 = 1 + |F|^{-4}\|\nabla F\|^2,\ \lambda_2 = 1 + (1+|F|^{-4})\|\nabla F\|^2 \tag{13}$$

respectively. This implies

$$\omega_{\psi_1}^N/N! = (1+|F|^{-4}\|\nabla F\|^2)dV,\ \omega_{\psi_2}^N/N! = (1+(1+|F|^{-4})\|\nabla F\|^2)dV. \tag{14}$$

By definition, the Ricci curvatures of $\omega_{\psi_1}, \omega_{\psi_2}$ are

$$\mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_{\psi_1}) = -i\partial\bar{\partial}\log(1+|F|^{-4}\|\nabla F\|^2),$$
$$\mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_{\psi_2}) = -i\partial\bar{\partial}\log(1+(1+|F|^{-4})\|\nabla F\|^2)$$

and therefore we consider the functions

$$\theta_1(z) = \log(1+|F|^{-4}\|\nabla F\|^2),\ \theta_2(z) = \log(1+(|F|^{-4}+1)\|\nabla F\|^2)$$

as Ricci compensators for the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 respectively.

We want to show that θ_1, θ_2 satisfy the growth condition (2). Clearly both functions are ≥ 0. If F is a polynomial then it is easy to see that $\theta_1 \leq D_1\psi_1^+ + D_2$ so in the case of (i) the function θ_1 is indeed a Ricci compensator for ψ_1. We want to find similar upper bounds for θ_2 in the case of (ii). By the inequality $\log(1+a) \leq \log^+(a)+1$, which holds for every $a \geq 0$, and by assumption (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_2(z) &\leq \log\left((1+|F|^{-4})(1+\|\nabla F\|^2)\right).\\ &\leq \log^+(|F|^{-4}) + 1 + \log^+(\|\nabla F\|^2) + 1\\ &\leq 2\psi_2^+ + 2(A_1\psi_2^+ + A_2) + 2 = (2A_1+2)\psi_2 + 2A_2 + 2. \end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

Hence (2) holds with $T = 2A_1+2$, $C = 2A_2+2$ and θ_2 and ψ_2 in the roles of θ and ψ, i.e. θ_2 is a Ricci compensator for ψ_2 on X.

Now we are going to show that the sublevel sets ψ_2 have growth rate of order 0. Since we can prove a similar statement for ψ_1 in almost an identical way we leave that to the reader. Let $L > 1$, by (14) and (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}V_{\omega_{\psi_2}}(\{\psi_2 \leq \log(L)\}) &= \int_{\{\psi_2\leq\log(L)\}} e^{\theta_2(z)}dV \leq \int_{\{\psi_2\leq\log(L)\}} e^{T\psi_2^+ + C}dV\\ &\leq e^C L^T \int_{\{\|z\|^2\leq L\}} dV \leq e^C L^{T+N} v_{2N}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the sublevel sets of ψ_2 have growth rate of order 0.

It remains to prove that ψ_2 induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on X, again we leave the similar part in the case of (i) to the reader. As a preparation we need an estimate for $\nabla\psi_2$. We have

$$\nabla\psi_2 = e^{-\psi_2}\nabla\left(|F|^2 + |F|^{-2} + \|z\|^2\right) = e^{-\psi_2}\left(\overline{F}\nabla F - |F|^{-4}\overline{F}\nabla F + \bar{z}\right)$$

which by (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\psi_2\| \le e^{-\psi_2}\left((|F| + |F|^{-3})\|\nabla F\| + \|z\|\right) &\le e^{-\psi_2}((e^{\frac{1}{2}\psi_2} + e^{\frac{3}{2}\psi_2})e^{A_1\psi_2 + A_2} + e^{\frac{1}{2}\psi_2}) \\ &\le 3e^{A_2}e^{(\frac{1}{2}+A_1)\psi_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we want to apply Lemma 2.4 with $f(x) = e^{\psi_2(z+xw)}$ for an arbitrary $z \in X$ and unit vector w. To do this we must find an estimate of the derivative of f:

$$\begin{aligned}f'(x) = f(x)2\mathrm{Re}(\langle\nabla\psi_2(z+xw), \bar{w}\rangle) &\le f(x)6e^{A_2}e^{(\frac{1}{2}+A_1)\psi_2(z+xw)} \\ &= 6e^{A_2}(f(x))^{\frac{3}{2}+A_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$e^{\psi_2(\zeta)} \le 2e^{\psi_2(z)} \qquad z \in X, \zeta \in B(z, \epsilon(z))$$

where

$$\epsilon(z) = (6e^{A_2}(A_1 + 1/2))^{-1}(1 - 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}+A_1)})e^{-(A_1+\frac{1}{2})\psi_2(z)}.$$

Using (13) and (15) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\psi_2}(\zeta) \le e^{\theta_2(\zeta)}\omega_0 &\le e^{(2A_1+2)\psi_2(\zeta)+2A_2+2}\omega_0 \\ &\le 4^{(A_1+1)}e^{2(A_1+1)\psi_2(z)+2A_2+2}\omega_0, \qquad z \in X, \zeta \in B(z, \epsilon(z)).\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By (16) and noticing that by (14) we have $dV \le dV_{\omega_{\psi_2}}$, we can apply Lemma 2.2 with $M(z) = 4^{(A_1+1)}e^{2(A_1+1)\psi_2(z)+2A_2+2}$ and ϵ as before and we see that ψ_2 induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on X. □

Analytic submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^M$

We first consider the case when X is an algebraic submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^M$ and then we look at more general analytic submanifolds.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^M$ be any non-singular affine algebraic variety and let $\psi(z) = \log\|z\|^2|_X$. Then ψ is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate and has sublevel sets of growth rate 0 on X.*

In [20, 21] Zeriahi proves results similar to Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 for this special case. Indeed in the case of Theorem 1.7 he does better; in his results X can have singularities. Write $A(X) := \mathbb{C}[z_1, ..., z_N]/I(X)$ and $A_n(X) := A(X) \cap \mathcal{P}_{n\psi}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we clearly have $A(X) \subset \mathcal{P}^\psi$. Let $K \subset X$ be compact. By [20], if the function

$$g_K(z) := 2\sup\{n^{-1}\log|f(z)|;\ f \in A_n(X), \|f\|_K \le 1, n \ge 1\}$$

is continuous, then it is maximal on $X \setminus K$ and a member of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$. In this case we can apply Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 with $\varphi = g_K$.

Write $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = N$. By Rudin [13], after a linear change of variables, we can assume that X is a subset of

$$\{z = (z_1, ..., z_N, z_{N+1}, ..., z_M) = (z', z'') \in \mathbb{C}^M;\ \|z''\| \leq C_1(1 + \|z'\|)^{C_2}\}$$

for some constants C_1, C_2. This implies that the function $\tilde{\psi} = \log \|z'\|$ is an exhaustion on X, moreover this implies that $\psi \leq C_3\tilde{\psi}^+ + C_4$ for some constants C_3, C_4. Since the function $\tilde{\psi}$ is maximal, using Theorem 1.2, this implies that the space $\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}$ is finite dimensional.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Let $P_1, ..., P_r$ be generators of the ideal $I(X)$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^M$ vanishing on X and let $s = M - N$ be the codimension of X. For every two sets of indices $K = \{k_1 < ... < k_s\} \subset \{1, ..., r\}$ and $L = \{l_1 < ... < l_s\} \subset \{1, ..., M\}$ write $J_{K,L} = \det\left(\partial P_{k_n}/\partial z_{l_m}\right)_{1 \leq n,m \leq s}$. Since the functions $J_{K,L}$ are polynomials we can clearly find constants T, C such that

$$\log\left(\sum_{|K|=|L|=s} |J_{K,L}|^2\right) \leq T\psi^+(z) + C, \qquad z \in X.$$

Moreover, since X is non-singular, the polynomials $J_{K,L}$ do not share a common zero on X and from Hilberts Nullstellensatz we can deduce that there are constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$\max_{|L|=|K|=s} |J_{K,L}(z)|^2 \geq C_1^{-1}(1 + \|z\|)^{-C_2}.$$

This implies that by possibly making T, C larger we have

$$-\log\left(\sum_{|K|=|L|=s} |J_{K,L}|^2\right) \leq T\psi^+(z) + C, \qquad z \in X.$$

Now the result follows from Proposition 2.6 that follows with $\lambda(x) = x$. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ be any convex increasing function satisfying*

$$c \leq \lambda'(x) + x\lambda''(x) \leq C_1(\lambda(x))^{C_2}, \qquad x > a \tag{17}$$

for some positive constants a, c, C_1, C_2, and

$$\lambda(x)(x\lambda''(x) + \lambda'(x)) - x(\lambda'(x))^2 \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^M$ be an N-dimensional analytic manifold defined by

$$X := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^M;\ F_1(z) = ... = F_r(z) = 0\}$$

where $F_1, ..., F_r$ are functions holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}^M$ and write

$$\psi(z) := \log(\lambda(|z_1|^2) + ... + \lambda(|z_M|^2))|_X.$$

Define the functions $J_{K,L}$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.5 with P_j replaced by F_j. If there exist constants C_3, C_4 such that

$$\left|\log\left(\sum_{|K|=|L|=s} |J_{K,L}(z)|^2\right)\right| \leq C_3\psi^+(z) + C_4, \qquad z \in X, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\log|\nabla J_{K,L}(z)| \leq C_3\psi^+(z) + C_4, \qquad z \in X, |K| = |L| = s, \tag{20}$$

then the function ψ is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions and the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order 0 on X.

Notice that condition (18) is only there to guarantee that the function ψ is psh. Proposition 2.5 is the special case of Proposition 2.6 in the case when $\lambda = \mathrm{id}$ is the identity function.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^M$ be an analytic manifold as in the previous proposition and write $\psi_0 = \log(\|z\|^2)|_X$. If X is not algebraic then, in a way, X can be too curved for the function ψ_0 to have a Ricci compensator. Therefore we have to consider other functions ψ which have growth rate which is in a sense comparable to the curvature of the manifold X. In order to get the strongest results we should find a function λ satisfying (17) and (18) such that the function ψ is as close to being asymptotic at infinity to the functions

$$\Big|\log\Big(\sum_{|K|=|L|=s} |J_{K,L}|^2(z)\Big)\Big|, \qquad \log|\nabla J_{K,L}(z)|$$

as possible, but still larger at infinity. Since (17) gives theoretical upper bounds to the function λ there possibly do exist manifolds X for which (19) can not be satisfied for any λ satisfying (17) but the manifold X has to be very curved for this to happen. For instance the function

$$\lambda(x) = \exp\circ\cdots\circ\exp(x)$$

satisfies (17) and (18) where the composition is taken finitely many times.

Proof. Write $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{C}^M \to \mathbb{R},\ z \to \log(\lambda(|z_1|^2)+...+\lambda(|z_M|^2))$ so $\psi = \tilde{\psi}|_X$. To reduce notation we write $r(x) = \lambda'(x) + x\lambda''(x)$ so on $\mathbb{C}^M$ we have

$$\omega_{\tilde{\psi}} = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{1\leq j\leq M} r(|z_j|^2)dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \tag{21}$$

and $\omega_\psi = \omega_{\tilde{\psi}}|_X$. For any $K = \{K_1 < ... < K_s\} \subset \{1, ..., r\}$ denote by $U_K \subset X$ the set on which the 1-forms $dF_{K_1}, ...dF_{K_s}$ are linearly independent (as before $s = M - N$ is the codimension of X). Our first goal is to calculate the Ricci curvature of ω_ψ on U_K. Our method to do this is based on Demailly's calculations from [8, Section 10]. Let $w \in U_K$ be fixed. Without loss of generality we can assume that X can be parameterized in the variables $(z_1, ..., z_N)$ in a neighborhood of w. We write

$$dV_N := idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge ... \wedge idz_N \wedge d\bar{z}_N, \quad dV_M := idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge ... \wedge idz_M \wedge d\bar{z}_M$$
$$\text{and} \qquad dF_K := dF_{K_1} \wedge ... \wedge dF_{K_s}$$

and by definition $dF_K \neq 0$ on U_K. For a multi-index $L = \{L_1 < ... < L_s\} \subset \{1, ..., M\}$ we write $L^c := \{1, ..., M\} \setminus L$ and we write $L_0 := \{N+1, ..., M\}$. For all L we have

$$dF_K \wedge dz_{L^c} = \pm J_{K,L} dz_1 \wedge ... \wedge dz_M,$$

and

$$i^{s^2+N^2} dF_K \wedge d\bar{F}_K \wedge dz_{L^c} \wedge d\bar{z}_{L^c} = |J_{K,L}|^2 dV_M$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} i^{s^2} dF_K \wedge d\bar{F}_K \wedge \omega_\psi^N / N! &= \frac{i^{s^2}}{N!} dF_K \wedge d\bar{F}_K \wedge \left(\frac{i}{2} \sum_{1 \le j \le M} r(|z_j|^2) dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right)^N \\ &= 2^{-N} \sum_{|L|=s} \left(|J_{K,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right) dV_M \\ &= i^{s^2} 2^{-N} |J_{K,L_0}|^{-2} \sum_{|L|=s} \left(|J_{K,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right) dF_K \wedge d\bar{F}_K \wedge dV_N. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the form $dF_K \wedge d\bar{F}_K$ is orthogonal to the tangent space of X, T_X, in the sense that $dF_{K_j}(\xi) = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, ..., s\}$ and all $\xi \in T_X$. Therefore we can eliminate a factor of $i^{s^2} dF_K \wedge d\bar{F}_K$ on both sides of the previous equation when restricting ourselfs to X. We get $\omega_\psi^N / N! = h(z) dV_N$ where

$$h = 2^{-N} |J_{K,L_0}|^{-2} \sum_{|L|=s} \left(|J_{K,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right). \tag{22}$$

By definition of the Ricci curvature, and using the fact that the function $\log |J_{K,L_0}|$ is pluriharmonic in a neighborhood of w, we have

$$\mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_\psi) = -i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \sum_{|L|=s} \left(|J_{K,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right)$$

on U_K.

Now that we have calculated the Ricci curvature, our next goal is to find a Ricci compensator θ for ψ on X. For $K_0 \neq K$ the function

$$a_{K_0,K} := \log \sum_{|L|=s} \left(|J_{K,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right) - \log \sum_{|L|=s} \left(|J_{K_0,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right)$$

is pluriharmonic on $U_K \cap U_{K_0}$ since it is the difference of two local potentials of the Ricci curvature of ω_ψ. Moreover this function is locally bounded from above on U_{K_0} and since $U_{K_0} \setminus U_K$ is an analytic subset of U_{K_0}, the function $a_{K_0,K}$ is plurisubharmonic on U_{K_0}. This is true for all K so the function

$$\log \sum_{|K|=s} e^{a_{K_0,K}} = \log \sum_{|K|=|L|=s} \left(|J_{K,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right) - \log \sum_{|L|=s} \left(|J_{K_0,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right)$$

is psh on U_{K_0} and therefore if we define the function $\theta : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ by the equation

$$\theta(z) = \log \sum_{|K|=|L|=s} \left(|J_{K,L}|^2 \prod_{j \in L^c} r(|z_j|^2) \right),$$

then we have $i\partial\bar{\partial}\theta + \mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_\psi) \geq 0$ on X. Also by our assumptions (17) and (19) we have

$$\theta(z) \geq N \log(c) + \log \sum_{|K|=|L|=s} |J_{K,L}|^2 \geq -C_3\psi^+ - C_4 + N\log(c)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(z) &\leq \log \sum_{|K|=|L|=s} |J_{K,L}|^2 + \log\left(N \max_j r(|z_j|^2) \right) \\ &\leq (C_3 + C_2)\psi^+ + C_4 + \log(NC_1)\end{aligned}$$

so θ is a Ricci compensator for ψ.

Next we want to show that ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on X. Since λ is convex, (17) implies that $\lambda' \leq C_1\lambda^{C_2}$ and further we have

$$\frac{d}{d\xi}\lambda((x+\xi)^2) = 2(x+\xi)\lambda'((x+\xi)^2) \leq 2C_1(x+1)(\lambda((x+\xi)^2))^{C_2}, \qquad \xi \leq 1$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 on the function $\xi \to \lambda((x+\xi)^2)$ this implies that

$$\lambda(\xi^2) \leq 2\lambda(x^2), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}, \ \ |x - \xi| \leq \epsilon_0(x). \tag{23}$$

where

$$\epsilon_0(x) = \min\left\{1, \frac{(1 - 2^{-(C_2-1)})}{2C_1(x+1)(C_2-1)}\lambda(x^2)^{-(C_2-1)}\right\}.$$

Inequality (23) implies that

$$e^{C_3\psi^+(\zeta)+C_4} \leq 2^{C_3} e^{C_3\psi^+(z)+C_4}, \qquad z \in X, \zeta \in B\left(z, \max_{1\leq j\leq M} \epsilon_0(|z_j|)\right). \tag{24}$$

Since $\lambda \geq 0$ is increasing and convex the function $x \to \lambda(x^2)$ is as well and we can find constants A_1, A_2 such that $(x+1)^{-1} \geq (A_1\lambda(x^2) + A_2)^{-1}$. Therefore we have

$$\max_{1\leq j\leq M} \epsilon_0(|z_j|) \geq \frac{(1 - 2^{-(C_2-1)})}{2C_1(C_2-1)} e^{-(C_2-1)\psi(z)} (A_1 e^{\psi(z)} + A_2)^{-1}. \tag{25}$$

Let $z \in X$ be fixed, using (19) we can find K, L such that

$$|J_{K,L}(z)| \geq \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-(C_3\psi^+(z)+C_4)} \tag{26}$$

and without loss of generality we can assume that $L = L_0 := \{N+1, ..., M\}$ and $K = K_0 := \{1, ..., s\}$. By assumption (20) and by (24) we have

$$|\nabla J_{K,L}(\zeta)| \leq 2^{C_3} e^{C_3\psi^+(z)+C_4}, \qquad z \in X, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}^M\left(z, \max_{1\leq j\leq M} \epsilon_0(|z_j|)\right) \tag{27}$$

and inequalities (26) and (27) then give

$$|J_{K,L}(\zeta)| \geq \frac{1}{2s^2} e^{-(C_3\psi^+(z)+C_4)}, \qquad \zeta \in B(z, \epsilon(z)) \tag{28}$$

where

$$\epsilon(z) = \min\left\{ \frac{1}{s^2 2^{C_3+1}} e^{-2(C_3\psi^+(z)+C_4)}, \max_{1\le j\le M} \epsilon_0(|z_j|) \right\}. \tag{29}$$

This means in particular that we can parameterize the manifold X in the variables $z_1, ..., z_N$ on $B(z, \epsilon(z))$ and we can consider $z_{N+1}, ..., z_M$ as functions of $z_1, ..., z_N$ defined implicitly by the equations $F_m(z_1, ..., z_M) = 0$, $m \in \{1, .., s\}$. We now calculate the $(1, 1)$ form ω_ψ with respect to this coordinate system.

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_\psi &= \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar\partial \sum_{1\le m\le M} \lambda(|z_m|^2) \\ &= \frac{i}{2}\sum_{1\le j\le N} r(|z_j|^2) dz_j \wedge d\bar z_j + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{N+1\le m\le M} \left(\sum_{1\le j,k\le N} r(|z_m|^2) \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial \bar z_m}{\partial \bar z_k} dz_j \wedge d\bar z_k \right).\end{aligned}$$

For any tangent vector w of unit length (with respect to the Euclidean metric on this coordinate patch) we have

$$\omega_\psi(w) \le \max_{1\le j\le M} r(|z_j|^2) \left(N + \sum_{N+1\le m\le M} |\nabla z_m|^2 \right). \tag{30}$$

Since the functions z_m are defined implicitly we have

$$|\nabla z_m|^2 = \sum_{1\le j\le s} \frac{|J_{K,L_{m,j}}|^2}{|J_{K,L}|^2}$$

where $L_{m,j} := (L\setminus\{m\})\cup\{j\}$ is the multi-index gained from L by replacing $m \in L$ with j. By inequalities, (24), (28),(30) and by assumptions (17), (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\psi,\zeta} &\le \max_{1\le j\le M} C_1(\lambda(|\zeta_j|^2))^{C_2} \left(N + \sum_{\substack{N+1\le m\le M \\ 1\le j\le s}} \frac{|J_{K,L_{m,j}}(\zeta)|^2}{|J_{K,L}(\zeta)|^2} \right) \omega_0 \\ &\le C_1 e^{C_2\psi^+(\zeta)} \left(N + 2s^2 e^{C_3(\psi^+(\zeta)+\psi^+(z))+2C_4} \right) \omega_0 \\ &\le C_1 2^{C_2} e^{\psi^+(z)} \left(N + 2^{C_3+1} s^2 e^{2C_3\psi^+(z)+2C_4} \right) \omega_0 \\ &= M(z)\omega_0, \qquad z \in X, \zeta \in B(z, \epsilon(z))\end{aligned}$$

where M is defined by the equality. Finally we note that by equation (22) and assumption (17) we have

$$dV = h^{-1} dV_{\omega_\psi} \le 2^N |J_{K,L}|^2 (c|J_{K,L}|^2)^{-1} dV_{\omega_\psi} = 2^N c^{-1} dV_{\omega_\psi}.$$

Now we can apply Lemma 2.2 to see that ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on X (inequalities (25) and (29) imply that ϵ satisfies the necessary growth condition).

Our last goal is to show that the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order 0 on X. Denote by $\mathbb{P}^M$ the M dimensional complex projective space and by $\overline{X}$ the closure of X as a subspace of $\mathbb{P}^M$. Denote by ω_{FS} the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^M$

and write $A := \int_{\overline{X}} (\omega_{FS}|_X)^N/N! < \infty$. Using equality (21), assumption (17) and the fact that the function λ is increasing and convex we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{FS}|_{\mathbb{C}^M} &\geq \frac{\omega_0}{(1+\|z\|^2)^2} \geq \frac{\omega_{\tilde{\psi}}}{(1+\|z\|^2)^2 \max_j(r(|z_j|^2))} \\ &\geq \frac{\omega_{\tilde{\psi}}}{(1+(\lambda'(0))^{-1}e^{\psi(z)})^2 C_1 \max_j(\lambda(|z_j|^2))^{C_2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\omega_{\tilde{\psi}}}{C_1(1+(\lambda'(0))^{-1}e^{\psi(z)})^2 e^{C_2\psi(z)}}\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_0 = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{1\leq j\leq M} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ is the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}^M$. For $L > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}V_{\omega_\psi}\{z \in X, \psi(z) \leq \log(L)\} &= \int_{\{\psi(z)\leq \log(L)\}} \omega_\psi^N/N! \\ &\leq \int_{\{\psi(z)\leq\log(L)\}} C_1^N(1+(\lambda'(0))^{-1}e^{\psi(z)})^{2N} e^{NC_2\psi(z)}(\omega_{FS}|_X)^N/N! \\ &\leq AC_1^N(1+(\lambda'(0))^{-1}L)^{2N}L^{C_2N},\end{aligned}$$

so the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order 0. □

The complement of an algebraic variety in the projective space

Denote by $\mathbb{P}^N$ the N-dimensional complex projective space and denote by $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ the standard projection. Let Q be a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ and write $\mathcal{N}(Q) = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^N; Q(\pi^{-1}(z)) = 0\}$.

Proposition 2.7. *If $X = \mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathcal{N}(Q)$ and $\psi(z) = \log\|z\|^2 - \log|Q(z)|^{2/\deg(Q)}$ then ψ is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate and has sublevel sets of growth rate 0 on X.*

If $n \in (\deg(Q)/2)\cdot\mathbb{N}$, then it is a simple matter of applying Riemann's Theorem of Removable Singularities to show that

$$\mathcal{P}_{n\psi} = \{PQ^{-2n/\deg(Q)};\ P \text{ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree } 2n\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.7. Let $M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1};\ Q(z) = 1\}$, define the mapping $\eta : M \to X$ with $(z_0, ..., z_N) \to [z_0 : ... : z_N]$. We claim that η is a $\deg(Q)$-fold covering of X. Before we prove this claim we show that it implies that ψ satisfies $(i) - (iii)$ of Definition 1.3. By Proposition 2.5, the function $\eta^*\psi = \log\|z\|^2|_M$ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on M and the sublevel sets of $\eta^*\psi$ have growth rate of order 0. By Proposition 1.5 this means that ψ has the same properties on X. Define the function θ on X with

$$\theta = \log\|\nabla Q\|^2 - \frac{\deg(Q)-1}{2\deg(Q)}\log|Q|.$$

then $\eta^*\theta = \log\|\nabla Q\|^2|_M$. Referring to the proof of Proposition 2.6 we see that $\eta^*\theta$ is a Ricci compensator for $\eta^*\psi$ on X because in this special case we have $\sum_{|K|=|L|=1}|J_{K,L}|^2 = \|\nabla Q\|^2$. By Proposition 1.5 this means that θ is a Ricci compensator for ψ on X.

Now we show that η is a $\deg(Q)$-fold covering of X. Let $w \in X$ be fixed. Without loss of generality we can assume that $w \in \{z = [z_0 : ... : z_N] \in X;\ z_0 \neq 0\} := \Omega_0$ and we let $(1, w_1, ..., w_N)$ be a representative of w. We define the function $a : \Omega_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$a(z) = a[z_0 : ... : z_N] = \left(Q\left(1, \frac{z_1}{z_0}, ..., \frac{z_N}{z_0}\right)\right)^{-1}.$$

Since $a(w) \neq 0$ we can define the $\deg(Q)$-th root r in a neighborhood U of $a(w)$, i.e. we let $r : U \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that $(r(\zeta))^{\deg(Q)} = \zeta$ for all $\zeta \in U \subset \mathbb{C}$. Define the mapping $b : a^{-1}(U) \to \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ by the equation

$$b(z) = b[z_0 : ... : z_N] = (1, z_1/z_0, ..., z_N/z_0) \cdot r \circ a(z).$$

Notice, by the homogeneity of Q we have

$$Q \circ b(z) = (r(a(z)))^{\deg(Q)} Q(1, z_1/z_0, ..., z_N/z_0) = 1$$

so the image of b is a subset of M. It is easy to see that b is injective and then it is bijective to its image. Therefore the inverse is well defined and we see that $b^{-1} = \eta|_{b(a^{-1}(U))}$. This means that η is a covering of X and since the function r could have been chosen in $\deg(Q)$ different ways the covering is $\deg(Q)$-fold. □

Bounded pseudoconvex domains of $\mathbb{C}^N$

We consider two examples of bounded pseudoconvex domains of $\mathbb{C}^N$.

Proposition 2.8. *(i) If $X_1 = B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\psi_1(z) = -\log(1 - \|z\|^2)$ then ψ_1 is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate and has sublevel sets of order 0 on X_1.*

(ii) If $X_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N;\ x_N := \mathrm{Re}(z_N) > -1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\psi_2(z) = -\log(x_N + 1) + \log\|z\|^2$ then ψ_2 is Ricci compensable, induces an integral estimate and has sublevel sets of order 0 on X_2.

First consider the manifold X_1 from Proposition 2.8. There are many ψ_1-polynomials on X_1. Indeed there are many bounded holomorphic functions on X_1 and such a function is clearly a ψ_1-polynomial of degree 0. Consider a compact set $K \subset X_1$ and assume there exists a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ such that K is convex with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $X_1 \subset\subset \Omega$. Then every function f holomorphic in a neighborhood of K can be uniformly approximated on K by functions holomorphic on Ω. In particular f can be approximated on K by functions which are bounded on X_1 so $d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi_1}) = 0$ for every $t > 0$. In this situation we clearly have no benefit of Theorems 1.7 and 1.10. If, on the other hand, $K \subset X_1$ is a compact set which is convex with respect to $\mathcal{O}(X_1)$ but not convex with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ for any pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ with $X_1 \subset\subset \Omega$, then Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 could be of use. We justify the usefulness of Proposition 2.8 (ii) in a similar way.

Proof of Proposition 2.8. **(i)** We have

$$\omega_{\psi_1} = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}(1 - \|z\|^2)^{-1} = \frac{i}{2(1 - \|z\|^2)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\delta_{j,k} + \frac{2\bar{z}_j z_k}{1 - \|z\|^2}\right) dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k.$$

We see that the largest eigenvalue of this metric corresponds to an eigenvector parallel to z, and it equals $\lambda_1 = (1+\|z\|^2)(1-\|z\|^2)^{-3}$. The remaining eigenvectors are orthogonal to z and they have the eigenvalue $(1-\|z\|^2)^{-2}$. As a result we have

$$dV_{\omega_{\psi_1}} = \omega_{\psi_1}^N/N! = \frac{1+\|z\|^2}{(1-\|z\|^2)^{2N+1}}dV$$

and the Ricci curvature of ω_ψ is given with the equation

$$\mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_{\psi_1}) = i\partial\bar\partial\left((2N+1)\log(1-\|z\|^2) - \log(1+\|z\|^2)\right)$$

therefore we see that $\theta(z) = -(2N+1)\log(1-\|z\|^2) + \log(1+\|z\|^2)$ is a Ricci compensator for ψ_1 (it clearly satisfies the growth condition (2)).

Since the largest eigenvalue of ω_{ψ_1} is $\lambda_1 = (1+\|z\|^2)(1-\|z\|^2)^{-3} \le 2(1-\|z\|^2)^{-3}$ we have $\omega_{\psi_1} \le 2(1-\|z\|^2)^{-3}\omega_0$ on X_1. By applying Lemma 2.2 we see that ψ_1 induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on X_1 (since the coordinate chart is global on X_1 we don't have to consider any ϵ function). For $L > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\omega_{\psi_1}}(\{\psi_1 \le \log(L)\}) &= \int_{\{(1-\|z\|^2)^{-1}\le L\}\cap B(0,1)} \frac{1+\|z\|^2}{(1-\|z\|^2)^{2N+1}}dV \\ &\le 2L^{2N+1}v_{2N} \end{aligned}$$

so the level sets of ψ_1 have growth rate of order 0.

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\psi_2} &= \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar\partial\frac{\|z\|^2}{x_N+1} \\ &= \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j,k}\left(\frac{\delta_{j,k}}{x_N+1} - \frac{\delta_{k,N}\bar z_j}{2(x_N+1)^2} - \frac{\delta_{j,N}z_k}{2(x_N+1)^2} + \frac{\delta_{j,N}\delta_{k,N}\|z\|^2}{2(x_N+1)^3}\right)dz_j\wedge d\bar z_k. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix corresponding to this metric is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_N+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{-z_1}{2(x_N+1)^2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{x_N+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{-z_2}{2(x_N+1)^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{x_N+1} & \frac{-z_{N-1}}{2(x_N+1)^2} \\ \frac{-\bar z_1}{2(x_N+1)^2} & \frac{-\bar z_2}{2(x_N+1)^2} & \frac{-\bar z_3}{2(x_N+1)^2} & \dots & \frac{-\bar z_{N-1}}{2(x_N+1)^2} & \frac{1+x_N+\|z\|^2/2}{(x_N+1)^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is simple to calculate the determinant of this matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega_{\psi_2}^N}{N!} &= (x_N+1)^{-(N+2)}\left(1+x_N+\frac{\|z\|^2}{2} - \sum_{1\le j\le N-1}\frac{|z_j|^2}{4}\right)dV \\ &= \frac{4+4x_N+\|z\|^2+|z_N|^2}{4(x_N+1)^{N+2}}dV \end{aligned}$$

and the Ricci curvature is

$$\mathrm{Ricci}(\omega_{\psi_2}) = (N+2)i\partial\bar\partial\log(x_N+1) - i\partial\bar\partial\log(4+4x_N+\|z\|^2+|z_N|^2).$$

It is easy to see that $\theta(z) := -(N+2)\log(x_N+1) + \log(4+4x_N+\|z\|^2+|z_N|)$ satisfies the growth conditions (2) and therefore it is a Ricci compensator for ψ_2.

For any tangent vector w of unit length (with respect to the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^N$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x_N+1)\omega_\psi(w) &= \left(1-\frac{1}{x_N+1}\sum_{1\le j\le N}\mathrm{Re}(w_j\bar{z}_j\bar{w}_N)+\frac{|w_N|^2\|z\|^2}{2(x_N+1)^2}\right)\\ &= \left\|w-\frac{w_N}{2(x_N+1)}z\right\|^2+\frac{|w_N|^2\|z\|^2}{4(x_N+1)^2}\\ &\le 2\left(1+\frac{\|z\|^2|w_N|^2}{4(x_N+1)^2}\right)+\frac{|w_N|^2\|z\|^2}{4(x_N+1)^2}\le 2+\frac{3\|z\|^2}{4(x_N+1)^2},\end{aligned}$$

i.e. we have $\omega_{\psi_2}\le(2+3\cdot 4^{-1}\|z\|^2(x_N+1)^{-2})\omega_0$ so by Lemma 2.2 ψ_2 induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on X_2.

The set $B(0,1/2)$ is relatively compact in X_2 so $C:=V_{\omega_{\psi_2}}(B(0,1/2))<\infty$ and if $z\notin B(0,1/2)$ then $\|z\|^2(x_N+1)^{-1}\le L$ implies that $(x_N+1)^{-1}\le 4L$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}V_{\omega_\psi}(\{\psi_2\le\log(L)\}) &= C+V_{\omega_\psi}(\{\psi_2\le\log(L)\}\setminus B(0,1/2))\\ &= C+\int_{\left\{\frac{\|z\|^2}{x_N+1}\le L\right\}\setminus B(0,2^{-1})}\left(\frac{\|z\|^2+|z_N|^2}{4(x_N+1)^{N+2}}+\frac{(x_N+1)}{(x_N+1)^{N+2}}\right)dV\\ &\le C+\int_{\left\{\frac{\|z\|^2}{x_N+1}\le L\right\}\setminus B(0,2^{-1})}\frac{2L+4}{4(x_N+1)^{N+1}}dV\\ &\le C+(2L+4)4^NL^{N+1}\int_{\left\{\frac{\|z\|^2}{x_N+1}\le L\right\}}dV\\ &= C+(2L+1)(4L)^{N+1}(L+L^2/4)^Nv_{2N}\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality was gained because the inequality $\|z\|^2(x_N+1)^{-1}\le L$ describes a ball in $\mathbb{C}^N$ with center $(0,0,...,0,L/2)$ and radius $(L+L^2/4)^{1/2}$. We see that the sublevel sets of ψ_2 have growth rate of order 0. □

3. Proofs of theorems

In this section X is always a Stein manifold of complex dimension N, $F\subset X$ is compact and ψ is a psh exhaustion function on X such that $\omega_\psi:=\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar\partial e^\psi$ is smooth and strictly positive on $X\setminus F$. By adding a constant to ψ we can assume that $\psi\le -1$ on F and by possibly making F larger we can further assume that $F=\{\psi\le -1\}$.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a Kähler form ω on X such that $\omega=\omega_\psi$ on $X\setminus\{\psi\le 0\}$. Moreover, the metric ω is complete.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be a smooth, increasing and convex function satisfying $\Gamma(x)=-1/2$ for $x\le -1$ and $\Gamma(x)=x$ for $x>0$. Let u be any strictly psh function defined in a neighborhood of $\{\psi\le 0\}$ and $r:X\to[0,1]$ be a smooth function with $r=1$ on $\{\psi\le -1/2\}$ and $r=0$ on $\{\psi\ge -1/4\}$. Define

$$\omega:=i\partial\bar\partial\left(e^{\Gamma\circ\psi}+\epsilon\cdot ru\right)$$

where ϵ is a small constant to be defined later. The $(1,1)$-form ω is clearly closed, smooth and equals ω_ψ on $\{\psi > 0\}$. It is strictly positive on $\{\psi \leq -1/2\} \cup \{\psi \geq -1/4\}$ but since r, u and $e^{\Gamma\circ\psi}$ are smooth functions and $e^{\Gamma\circ\psi}$ is strictly psh on $\{-1/2 \leq \psi \leq -1/4\}$ we can choose ϵ small enough such that ω is strictly positive on X.

Write $M = \max\{\sup_{z\in\{\psi\leq 0\}} |d(\Gamma\circ\psi)(z)|_\omega, 4\}$. Since ψ is an exhaustion we have $M < \infty$. We claim that $|d(\Gamma\circ\psi)|_\omega \leq M$ on X and the result then follows from [7, Lemma VIII 2.4]. Indeed, by definition of M the inequality is true on $\{\psi \leq 0\}$. If $\psi \geq 0$ we have

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2}e^\psi\left(\partial\psi\wedge\bar\partial\psi + \partial\bar\partial\psi\right) \geq \frac{i}{2}\partial\psi\wedge\bar\partial\psi$$

and therefore $|d\psi|_\omega = |\partial\psi + \bar\partial\psi|_\omega \leq 2|\partial\psi|_\omega \leq 4|\partial\psi|_{\partial\psi\wedge\bar\partial\psi} \leq 4$. □

For the rest of the section ω denotes some fixed metric as described in Lemma 3.1 and we write $F_0 = \{\psi \leq 0\}$. Then ω_ψ is smooth and strictly positive on $X \setminus F_0$ and $\omega = \omega_\psi$ on $X \setminus F_0$. For any $t > 0$ we write $F_t = \{z \in X; d_\omega(z, F_0) \leq t\}$ where $d_\omega(z, F_0)$ is the distance between z and F_0 with respect to the metric ω. Since ω is complete, the set F_t is compact for every t.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $z, \zeta \in X$. If there exists a path $\gamma : [0,1] \to X \setminus F_0$ from z to ζ with geodesic length δ with respect to ω then $|e^{\psi(\zeta)/2} - e^{\psi(z)/2}| \leq \delta$.*

Proof. We have

$$L^\omega(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \left(\omega_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))\right)^{1/2} dt = \delta.$$

By assumption we have $\omega = \omega_\psi$ on the image of γ. Write $\alpha := \frac{i}{2}e^\psi\partial\psi\wedge\bar\partial\psi$. Then we have $\omega = \frac{i}{2}e^\psi(\partial\bar\partial\psi + \partial\psi\wedge\bar\partial\psi) \geq \alpha$ so

$$L^\alpha(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \left(\alpha_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))\right)^{1/2} dt \leq \delta.$$

Assume first that the image of γ is contained in a single coordinate patch $(z_1, ..., z_N)$, by the fundamental theorem of analysis we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\psi(\zeta)/2} &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{(\psi\circ\gamma)/2}dt + e^{\psi(z)/2} \leq \int_0^1 e^{\psi(\gamma(t))/2}|\langle\gamma'(t), \overline{\nabla\psi\circ\gamma}\rangle|dt + e^{\psi(z)/2} \\ &= L^\alpha(\gamma) + e^{\psi(z)/2} \leq e^{\psi(z)/2} + \delta, \end{aligned}$$

so $e^{\psi(\zeta)/2} - e^{\psi(z)/2} \leq \delta$. In the case when the image of γ is not contained in a single coordinate patch we get the result partitioning γ into shorter paths, each of which is contained in a single coordinate patch, and then applying the previous result. □

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ there is a constant C such that*

$$\sup_{\zeta\in B^\omega_\delta(z)} (\psi^+)^2(\zeta) \leq (1+\epsilon)(\psi^+)^2(z) + C, \qquad z \in X. \tag{31}$$

Proof. Let $z \in X \setminus F_\delta$, then we have $\psi = \psi^+$ on $B^\omega_\delta(z)$. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\sup_{\zeta \in B^\omega_\delta(z)} e^{\psi(\zeta)/2} \leq e^{\psi(z)/2} + \delta \tag{32}$$

and taking the logarithm on both sides of (32) gives

$$\sup_{\zeta \in B^\omega_\delta(z)} \psi^+(\zeta)/2 \leq \log(e^{\psi^+(z)/2} + \delta) \leq \psi^+(z)/2 + \delta/(1+\delta).$$

Simplifying and squaring gives

$$\sup_{\zeta \in B^\omega_\delta(z)} (\psi^+)^2(\zeta) \leq (\psi^+)^2(z) + \frac{4\delta}{1+\delta}\psi^+(z) + \frac{4\delta^2}{(1+\delta)^2}.$$

Since ψ is an exhaustion we have $\epsilon(\psi^+)^2 + C \geq 4(\psi^+)\delta(1+\delta)^{-1} + 4\delta^2(1+\delta)^{-2}$ for some constant $C > 0$ and then inequality (31) is satisfied on $X \setminus F_\delta$. Since F_δ is compact we can make the inequality true on X by possibly making C larger. □

The following theorem is just a special case of a famous result by Skoda [17].

Theorem 3.4. *Let φ be a continuous psh function on X satisfying $i\partial\bar\partial\varphi + \mathrm{Ricci}(\omega) \geq 0$ on X. Then, for any $(0,q)$-form f with C^∞ (resp. L^2_{loc}) coefficients satisfying $\bar\partial f = 0$ and $\int_X |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_\omega < \infty$ there is a $(0,q-1)$-form u with C^∞ (resp. L^2_{loc}) coefficients such that $\bar\partial u = f$ and*

$$\int_X |u|^2_\omega (e^\psi + 1)^{-2} e^{-\varphi} dV_\omega \leq \frac{1}{4N} \int_X s|f|^2_\omega e^{-\varphi} dV_\omega,$$

where s is a non-negative function on X which equals 1 on $X \setminus F_0$.

Proof. First assume that φ is C^∞. Consider the line bundle $E := X \times \mathbb{C}$ over X with the trivial projection. On the fibers of E we define the Hermitian product

$$\langle (z,\zeta_1); (z,\zeta_2) \rangle := (1 + e^{\psi(z)})^{-2} \zeta_1 \bar\zeta_2$$

and denote by $|(z,\cdot)|^2_E = (1+e^{\psi(z)})^{-2}|\cdot|^2$ the corresponding norm. Denote by $i\Theta(E) = 2i\partial\bar\partial \log(1+e^\psi)$ the Chern curvature tensor on E with respect to this metric (see for example comment (12.6) Chapter V in [7] to verify this equation). On $X \setminus F_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} i(e^\psi+1)^2\Theta(E) &= 2i(e^\psi+1)^2 \left(\frac{\partial\bar\partial e^\psi}{(1+e^\psi)} - \frac{\partial e^\psi \wedge \bar\partial e^\psi}{(1+e^\psi)^2} \right) \\ &= 4\omega_\psi + 2e^{2\psi} i\partial\bar\partial\psi \geq 4\omega_\psi = 4\omega. \end{aligned}$$

so, by assumption on φ, we have

$$i\Theta(E) + \mathrm{Ricci}(\omega) + i\partial\bar\partial\varphi \geq \frac{4\omega}{(e^\psi+1)^2} \tag{33}$$

on $X \setminus F_0$. Therefore the sum of the eigenvalues of the $(1,1)$-form on the left hand side of (33) with respect to the metric ω is larger than or equal to $4N(e^\psi+1)^{-2}$.

Now, if we consider f as a section on the cotangent bundle of E, by [7, Theorem VIII 6.5] we can find a $(0, q-1)$ form u such that $\bar{\partial} u = f$ and

$$\int_X |u|_E^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_\omega \leq \frac{1}{4N} \int_X S|f|_E^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_\omega,$$

where S is a positive function equal to $(e^\psi + 1)^2$ on $X \setminus F_0$. If we replace $|\cdot|_E^2$ by $(1 + e^{\psi(z)})^{-2}|\cdot|^2$ the result follows with $s = S(1 + e^{\psi(z)})^{-2}$.

If φ is not C^∞ we get the result by finding a sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of C^∞ psh functions such that $\varphi_n \searrow \varphi$ in the L^1_{loc} topology and by taking the limit. Since X is Stein, such a sequence exists. □

The following lemma is true on any complete Hermitian manifold.

Lemma 3.5. *Let z be any point in X. There exists a neighborhood U of z and constants $\delta, C > 0$ such that for any $\zeta \in U$ and any non-negative function u plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of $\overline{B}^\omega_\delta(\zeta)$ we have*

$$u(\zeta) \leq C \int_{B^\omega_\delta(\zeta)} u \, dV_\omega. \tag{34}$$

Consequently, if $K \subset X$ is compact, then there exist constants δ, C such that for any non-negative psh function u defined in a neighborhood of $K_\delta := \{z \in X; d_\omega(z, K) \leq \delta\}$ and any $\zeta \in K$ inequality (34) holds.

Proof. Let $z \in X$ and let $(z_1, ..., z_N)$ be a coordinate patch defined on a neighborhood V of z and write $dV := \left(\frac{i}{2} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1\right) \wedge ... \wedge \left(\frac{i}{2} dz_N \wedge d\bar{z}_N\right)$. Let δ be small enough such that $B^\omega_{2\delta}(z) \subset\subset V$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough such that $B(\zeta, \epsilon) \subset B^\omega_\delta(\zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in \overline{B}^\omega_\delta(z)$ where $B(\zeta, \epsilon)$ is the Euclidean ball with respect to the coordinates $(z_1, ..., z_N)$. Now, for any $\zeta \in B^\omega_\delta(z)$ and any non-negative u psh in a neighborhood of $\overline{B}^\omega_\delta(\zeta)$, by the standard sub-mean-value inequality for psh functions we have

$$u(\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2N} v_{2N}} \int_{B(\zeta,\epsilon)} u dV \leq \frac{M}{\epsilon^{2N} v_{2N}} \int_{B^\omega_\delta(\zeta)} u dV_\omega$$

where M is such that $M dV_\omega \geq dV$ on $B^\omega_{2\delta}(z)$ and v_{2N} is the volume of the unit ball in dimension $2N$.

The second claim of the Lemma follows directly by compactness. □

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By assumption we have $t_0 i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi + \text{Ricci}(\omega) \geq 0$ on $X \setminus F_0$. Since φ is strictly psh on F_0 we can find $T_0 \geq t_0$ such that this inequality is true on X. Then for any $t \geq T_0$ we can apply Theorem 3.4 to find a function u_t with $\bar{\partial} u_t = \bar{\partial}(f\chi) = f\bar{\partial}\chi$ on X satisfying

$$\int_X |u_t|^2 (e^\psi + 1)^{-2} e^{-2t\varphi} dV_\omega \leq \frac{1}{4N} \int_X s|f\bar{\partial}\chi|^2_\omega e^{-2t\varphi} dV_\omega.$$

Now we define the function $p_t := \chi f - u_t$. It is clear that p_t is an entire function.

First we want to show that $p_t \in \mathcal{P}_{(t+l)\psi}$ for some constant l. Let $A = \{z \in X;\ d_\omega(z, \{\varphi \leq \log(L)\}) \leq 1\} \cup F_1$. Since φ is an exhaustion and ω is complete, the set A is compact. Let $z \in X \setminus A$. Then the set $\overline{B_1^\omega(z)}$ does not intersect the support of χ and therefore u_t is holomorphic in a neighborhood of this set. For the rest of the proof we denote by $C_1, C_2, ...$ constants which do not depend on z, t, f or L but we denote by $D_1, D_2, ...$ constants which can possibly depend on t but do not depend on z, f, L. Since ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on $X \setminus F_0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(35) \quad |p_t(z)|^2 = |u_t(z)|^2 &\leq e^{C_1\psi^+(z)+D_1} \int_{B_1^\omega(z)} |u_t|^2 dV_\omega \\
&\leq e^{C_1\psi^+(z)+D_1} \sup_{\zeta \in B_1^\omega(z)} e^{2t\varphi(\zeta)} \left(e^{\psi^+(\zeta)}+1\right)^2 \int_X |u_t|^2 (e^\psi+1)^{-2} e^{-2t\varphi} dV_\omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

where C_1, D_1 are some constants. The function u_t is defined in a way such that the last integral in (35) is convergent so we can estimate it with a new constant. Note that we have $\psi = \psi^+$ on $B_\delta^\omega(z)$. By (ii) and Lemma 3.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\zeta \in B_1^\omega(z)} e^{2t\varphi(\zeta)} \left(e^{\psi^+(\zeta)}+1\right)^2 && \leq \sup_{\zeta \in B_1^\omega(z)} e^{2t\psi^+(\zeta)+D_2} \left(2e^{\psi^+(\zeta)}\right)^2 \\
= &\sup_{\zeta \in B_1^\omega(z)} e^{D_3} (e^{\psi^+(\zeta)/2})^{4t+4} && \leq e^{D_3} (e^{\psi^+(z)/2}+1)^{4t+4} \\
\leq &e^{(2t+2)\psi^+(z)+D_4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the previous inequality and (35) we can establish that $|p_t| \leq e^{(C_2+t)\psi^+ + D_5}$ on $X \setminus A$ for some constants C_2, D_5. By making D_5 larger we can assume that the inequality is true on all of X. Therefore $p_t \in \mathcal{P}_{(t+l)\psi}$ where $l = C_2$ is a constant not depending on t.

Now we want to find an estimate for $\|f - p_t\|_K$. Let $r > 0$ be small enough such that $K_r := \{z \in X;\ d_\omega(z, K) \leq r\} \subset \{\varphi < \log(1+\epsilon)\}$ and let $z \in K$. Since $\epsilon < (L-1)/2$ we have $B_r^\omega(z) \subset \{\chi = 1\}$ and therefore u_t is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{B}_r^\omega(z)$. By possibly making r smaller we can apply Lemma 3.5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z) - p_t(z)|^2 = |u_t(z)|^2 &\leq C_3 \int_{B_r^\omega(z)} |u_t|^2 dV_{\omega_\psi} \\
&\leq C_4 \left(\sup_{\zeta \in K_r} e^{2t\varphi(\zeta)}\right) \int_X |u_t|^2 (e^\psi+1)^{-2} e^{-2t\varphi} dV_{\omega_\psi}. \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of r we can estimate $\sup_{\zeta \in K_r} e^{2t\varphi(\zeta)}$ with $(1+\epsilon)^{2t}$ and u_t was constructed such that the integral on the right hand side of (36) can be estimated in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z) - p_t(z)|^2 &\leq C_4 (1+\epsilon)^{2t} \int_X s|f\bar\partial\chi|_\omega^2 e^{-2t\varphi} dV_\omega \\
&\leq C_4 (1+\epsilon)^{2t} \left(\sup_{\zeta \in \mathrm{supp}(\bar\partial\chi)} e^{-2t\varphi(\zeta)}\right) \|sf\|^2_{\mathrm{supp}(\bar\partial\chi)} \|\bar\partial\chi\|^2_{L^2(X, dV_\omega)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi > \log(L-\epsilon)$ on the support of $\bar\partial\chi$ we can estimate $\sup_{\zeta \in \mathrm{supp}(\bar\partial\chi)} e^{-2t\varphi(\zeta)}$ by $(L-\epsilon)^{-2t}$. Also we have $\mathrm{supp}(\bar\partial\chi) \subset \{\varphi < \log(L-\epsilon/2)\}$ and since $z \in K$ was

arbitrary we have

$$\|f-p_t\|_K \leq C_5\|f\|_{\{\varphi<\log(L-\epsilon/2)\}}\|\bar\partial\chi\|_{L^2(X,dV_\omega)}\left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{L-\epsilon}\right)^t.$$

We have already seen that $p_t \in \mathcal{P}_{(l+t)\psi}$ and by replacing t with $t-l$ we have the result with $M = C_5\|\bar\partial\chi\|_{L^2(X,dV_\omega)}$. If L is large enough, we have $F_0 \subset \{\varphi < \log(L-\epsilon)\}$ and $\|\bar\partial\chi\|_{L^2(X,dV_\omega)} = \|\bar\partial\chi\|_{L^2(X\setminus F,dV_{\omega_\psi})}$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $S,\epsilon>0$, $\varphi\in\mathcal{L}^+_\psi$ be continuous, let θ be a continuous function satisfying the growth condition (2) from Definition 1.3 (i) and write $\tilde\varphi_t := (1-t^{-1})\varphi + t^{-1}\theta$. Then there exists T_0 such that for $t>T_0$ we have*

$$\{z\in X;\ \tilde\varphi_t(z)<S-\epsilon\}\subset\{z\in X;\ \varphi(z)<S\},$$

and the function $\tilde\varphi_t$ is an exhaustion function.

Proof. Write $A_1=\{\theta-\varphi\geq 0\}$ and $A_2 = X\setminus A_1 = \{\theta-\varphi<0\}$. If $z\in A_1$ and $\tilde\varphi_t(z) = \varphi(z)+t^{-1}(\theta(z)-\varphi(z)) < S-\epsilon$ for some $t>0$ then we obviously have $\varphi(z)<S$. Therefore $A_1\cap\{\tilde\varphi_t(z)<S-\epsilon\}\subset\{\varphi(z)<S\}$ for all $t>0$.

Since $\varphi\in\mathcal{L}^+_\psi$ and by (2) we can find $T_1\geq 1$ large enough such that

$$|\theta(z)|\leq T_1\varphi^+(z)+C,\qquad z\in X$$

for some constant C. If $t>2T_1$ and z is such that $\varphi(z)>0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\varphi_t(z) &= (1-t^{-1})\varphi(z)+t^{-1}\theta(z) > \frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi(z)-\frac{2}{t}|\theta(z)|\right)\\ &\geq \left(1-\frac{2T_1}{t}\right)\frac{\varphi(z)}{2}-\frac{C}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

Since φ is an exhaustion, this implies that $\tilde\varphi_t$ is also an exhaustion for $t>2T_1$ and therefore the set $L_{2T_1+1} = \overline{\{\tilde\varphi_{2T_1+1}\leq S-\epsilon\}\cap A_2}$ is compact. Now, if $t>2T_1+1$ then $L_t := \overline{\{\tilde\varphi_t\leq S-\epsilon\}\cap A_2}\subset L_{2T_1+1}$ because $\tilde\varphi_t\geq\tilde\varphi_{2T_1+1}$ on A_2. Since both functions θ and φ are continuous the function $\theta-\varphi$ has a lower bound $-M$ on L_{2T_1+1}. Let $T_0>2T_1+1$ be large enough such that $MT_0^{-1}<\epsilon$. Then, if $z\in L_{T_0}$ we have $\varphi(z)\leq S-\epsilon-T_0^{-1}(\theta(z)-\varphi(z))<S$. In particular we have $A_2\cap\{\tilde\varphi_{T_0}<S-\epsilon\}\subset\{\varphi(z)<S\}$ and the proof is finished. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We start with the "sufficiency part". Let $\epsilon\in]0,(L-1)/2[$ and let ψ be as in the theorem. Let θ be a Ricci compensator for ψ on $X\setminus F$. Let $K\subset X$ be compact, φ be as in the theorem and f be a function holomorphic on $\{\varphi<\log(L)\}$. We can assume that $\theta<0$ on K by possibly replacing it by $\theta-C$ for $C>0$ large enough. Now apply Lemma 3.6 to find $T>0$ such that $\{\tilde\varphi_T<\log(L-\epsilon)\}\subset\{\varphi<\log(L)\}$ where $\tilde\varphi_T := (1-T^{-1})\varphi+T^{-1}\theta$. By the choice of θ, we can now apply Theorem 1.11 with φ replaced by $\tilde\varphi_T$. For t large enough we have

$$d_K(f,\mathcal{P}_{t\psi})\leq M\|f\|_{\{\tilde\varphi_T\leq\log(L-3\epsilon/2)\}}\left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{L-2\epsilon}\right)^{t-l}.$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}(d_K(f,\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}))^{1/t} \leq \limsup_{t\to\infty}\left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{L-2\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{t-l}{t}} = \frac{1+\epsilon}{L-2\epsilon}.$$

Since this is true for every $\epsilon > 0$ the result follows.

Assume now that φ is ψ-extremal and that inequality (5) holds. We want to show that f extends holomorphically to the set $\{\varphi < \log(L)\}$. By assumption there is a sequence $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of functions on X such that $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{n\psi}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|p_n - f\|_K \leq (L-\epsilon(n))^{-n}$ where $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a function satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty}\epsilon(n) = 0$. We claim that the sum $p_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(p_{n+1} - p_n)$ is uniformally convergent on compact subsets of $\{\varphi < \log(L)\}$. Indeed for $l < L$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{z\in\{\varphi\leq\log(l)\}}|p_n(z) - p_{n-1}(z)| &\leq \|p_n - p_{n-1}\|_K \left(\sup_{z\in\{\varphi\leq\log(l)\}} e^{n\varphi(z)}\right)\\ &\leq (\|p_n - f\|_K + \|f - p_{n-1}\|_K)\left(\sup_{z\in\{\varphi\leq\log(l)\}} e^{n\varphi(z)}\right)\\ &\leq 2l\left(\frac{l}{L - \max\{\epsilon(n-1),\epsilon(n)\}}\right)^{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality was gained because φ is ψ-extremal and $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is a ψ-polynomial of degree less then or equal to n. Since $l < L - \epsilon(n)$ for large enough n the sum converges. Obviously the sum equals f on K. □

Proof of Proposition 1.8. The inequality $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^* \leq V^*_{K,\psi}$ is trivial. Let $z_0 \in X \setminus K$ be fixed. To derive a contradiction, assume that $V^*_{K,\psi}(z_0) > \log(\Phi_{K,\psi}(z_0))^*$ and write $L := e^{V^*_{K,\psi}(z_0)}$. Define the open sets

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &:= \{z \in X;\ V^*_{K,\psi}(z) < \log(L)\},\\ \Omega' &:= \{z \in X;\ \log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^*(z) < \log(L)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $z_0 \in \Omega'$, $z_0 \notin \Omega$ and we clearly have $\Omega \subset \Omega'$. Denote by Ω'_0 the connected component of Ω' containing z_0. By assumption (ii) Ω'_0 contains a connected component of K and since $K \subset \Omega$ there is a component of Ω, call it Ω_0, with $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega'_0$. Since Ω_0 is hyperconvex, i.e. defined as a component of a sublevel set of a psh function, it is a domain of holomorphy and therefore there exists a function h holomorphic on Ω_0 with no holomorphic extension to any larger domain. Extend h to all of Ω by defining h to be 0 on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_0$. By assumption (i) there exists a sequence of functions $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{n\psi}$ and $\|p_n - f\|_K \leq (L - \epsilon(n))^{-n}$ where $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a function with $\lim_{n\to\infty}\epsilon(n) = 0$. The function $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^*$ is clearly ψ-extremal so by the same argument as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 1.7 the series

$$p_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(p_{n+1} - p_n)$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of Ω'. Clearly it equals h on K and since K is non-pluripolar (because $V_{K,\psi} < \infty$) it equals h on Ω. In particular the series converges uniformly on compact subsets of Ω'_0 which is a contradiction to the choice of h because Ω'_0 is a domain which is strictly larger than Ω_0. We conclude that

$V^*_{K,\psi} = \log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^*$ on $X \setminus K$. Clearly $V^*_{K,\psi} = \log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^* = 0$ on the interior of K so $V^*_{K,\psi}$ and $\log(\Phi_{K,\psi})^*$ are psh functions which are equal on $X \setminus \partial K$ and therefore they are equal on X. □

Lemma 3.7. *For $a, \varrho > 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{a}{n}\Big)^{n/\varrho} \leq 1 + 2^{\varrho} a e^{\frac{a}{e\varrho}}.$$

Proof. Write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{a}{n}\Big)^{n/\varrho} = \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor 2^{\varrho} a \rfloor} \Big(\frac{a}{n}\Big)^{n/\varrho} + \sum_{n=\lfloor 2^{\varrho} a \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{a}{n}\Big)^{n/\varrho} =: S_1 + S_2$$

Since $(a/n)^{n/\varrho} < 2^{-n}$ for $n > \lfloor 2^{\varrho} a \rfloor$ we have $S_2 \leq 1$. The function $x \to (a/x)^{x/\varrho}$ is maximized when $x = a/e$. Therefore $S_1 \leq \lfloor 2^{\varrho} a \rfloor (a/(a/e))^{\frac{a}{e\varrho}} \leq 2^{\varrho} a e^{\frac{a}{e\varrho}}$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^+_{\psi}$ and $L_0 > 1$ be large enough such that $\{\psi < 1\} \subset \{\varphi < \log(L_0)\}$. For any constants L_1, L_2 with $L_2 > L_1 > L_0$ we can find a function $\chi \in C^{\infty}(X)$ with $\chi = 1$ on $\{\varphi < \log(L_1)\}$, $\chi = 0$ on $\{\varphi > \log(L_2)\}$ and $\|\bar{\partial}\chi\|^2_{L^2(X, dV_\omega)} \leq \frac{M_1 L_2^2}{L_2 - L_1} V_\omega(\{\psi \leq \log(L_2) + M_2\})$ where M_1 and M_2 are constants independent of L_1 and L_2.*

Proof. Write $A_1 := \{z \in X;\ \log(L_1) \leq \varphi \leq \log(L_2)\}$ and $W = e^{\varphi}$. Then $\omega = \omega_\psi$ on A_1 (by the construction of ω in the beginning of Section 3). Let $\chi_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\chi_0(x) = 1$ if $x \leq 1$ and $\chi_0(x) = 0$ if $x \geq L_2/L_1$. We can choose such a function such that $\|\chi_0'\|_{\mathbb{R}} \leq C_1(L_2/L_1 - 1)^{-1}$ for some constant C_1. Write $\chi = \chi_0(W/L_1)$ then $\chi(z) = 1$ if $\varphi(z) < \log(L_1)$ and $\chi(z) = 0$ if $\varphi(z) > \log(L_2)$ as desired. We have $\bar{\partial}\chi = L_1^{-1}\chi_0'(W/L_1)\bar{\partial}W$. Since W is non-negative and psh, the function W^2 is psh and

$$\begin{aligned}(i\partial\bar{\partial}W^2) \wedge \omega^{N-1} &= 2i(\partial W \wedge \bar{\partial}W + W\partial\bar{\partial}W) \wedge \omega^{N-1} \\ &\geq 2i\partial W \wedge \bar{\partial}W \wedge \omega^{N-1} = |\bar{\partial}W|^2_{\omega}\omega^N.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar{\partial}\chi\|^2_{L^2(X, dV_\omega)} &= \int_{A_1} |\bar{\partial}\chi|^2_{\omega} dV_\omega \leq \frac{C_1}{(L_2/L_1 - 1)L_1} \int_{A_1} |\bar{\partial}W|^2_{\omega} dV_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{L_2 - L_1} \int_{A_1} i\partial\bar{\partial}W^2 \wedge \omega^{N-1}. \qquad (37)\end{aligned}$$

By assumption we have $\{\varphi \geq \log(L_1)\} \subset \{\varphi \geq \log(L_0)\} \subset \{\psi \geq 1\}$. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^+_{\psi}$ we can find a constant C_3 such that $\psi \leq \varphi^+ + C_3$ on X and then $\{\varphi^+ \leq \log(L_2)\} \subset \{\psi \leq \log(L_2) + C_3\}$. Since $L_0 > 1$ we have $\varphi^+ = \varphi$ on A_1 and

$$A_1 \subset \{1 \leq \psi \leq \log(L_2) + C_3\} \subset \{0 \leq \psi \leq \log(L_2) + C_3 + 1\} =: A_2.$$

Let $\Gamma_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\Gamma_0(x) = 1$ if $1 \leq x \leq \log(L_2) + C_3$ and $\Gamma_0(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$ or $x \geq \log(L_2) + C_3 + 1$. We can construct Γ_0 such that $\max\{\|\Gamma_0'\|_{\mathbb{R}}, \|\Gamma_0''\|_{\mathbb{R}}\} \leq C_4$ for some constant C_4 independent on L_1 and L_2. Now write $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \circ \psi$. Then

$\Gamma = 1$ on A_1 and $\Gamma = 0$ outside of A_2. Moreover $\omega = \omega_\psi$ on A_2. Continuing from (37) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar\partial\chi\|^2_{L^2(X,dV_\omega)} &\leq \frac{C_2}{L_2 - L_1}\int_{A_2}\Gamma i\partial\bar\partial W^2\wedge\omega^{N-1}\\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{L_2 - L_1}\left(\sup_{z\in A_2} W^2(z)\right)\int_{A_2} i\partial\bar\partial\Gamma\wedge\omega^{N-1}\\ &\leq \frac{C_5}{L_2 - L_1}\left(\sup_{z\in A_2} W^2(z)\right)\int_{A_2}(i\partial\psi\wedge\bar\partial\psi + i\partial\bar\partial\psi)\wedge\omega^{N-1}\\ &= \frac{C_5}{L_2 - L_1}\left(\sup_{z\in A_2} W^2(z)\right)\int_{A_2} e^{-\psi}i\partial\bar\partial e^{\psi}\wedge\omega^{N-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi\in\mathcal{L}_\psi$ we can find C_6 such that $\varphi\leq\psi^+ + C_6$. We have $\psi = \psi^+$ on A_2 and since $W = e^\varphi$ we have $\sup_{z\in A_2} W^2(z)\leq C_7L_2^2$. By definition $\omega = \omega_\psi = i\partial\bar\partial e^\psi$ and therefore

$$\|\bar\partial\chi\|^2_{L^2(X,dV_\omega)}\leq\frac{C_8L_2^2}{L_2 - L_1}V_\omega(A_2).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.10. We start with the "sufficiency part" and first look at the case when φ satisfies (i) from Theorem 1.11. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By assumption there is a constant C_1 such that $\|f\|_{\{\varphi\leq\log(r)\}}\leq C_1\exp((\sigma+\epsilon)r^\varrho)$ for every $r\geq 1$. Since f is entire, inequality (9) is true for every $t > T_0$ and every $L > 1$. In particular if we take $L = L(t) := (t/(\varrho\sigma))^{\varrho^{-1}} + \epsilon/2$ we have

$$d_K(f,\mathcal{P}_{t\psi})\leq M_0C_1\exp\left(\frac{(\sigma+\epsilon)t}{\varrho\sigma}\right)\|\bar\partial\chi_t\|_{L^2(X,dV_\omega)}\left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{L(t)-\epsilon}\right)^{t-l}$$

for every t large enough. Here χ_t is a cut-off function with $\chi = 1$ on $\{\varphi < \log(L(t)-\epsilon)\}$ and $\chi = 0$ on $\{\varphi > \log(L(t)-\epsilon/2)\}$. By Lemma 3.8 we can assume that

$$\|\bar\partial\chi_t\|_{L^2(X,dV_\omega)}\leq C_2(L(t)-\epsilon/2)\epsilon^{-1/2}(V_\omega(\{\psi\leq\log(L(t)-\epsilon/2)+\log(C_3)\}))^{1/2}.$$

Since the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order ϱ_0 there are constants C_4 and C_5 such that

$$\begin{aligned}(V_\omega(\{\psi\leq\log(L(t)-\epsilon/2)+\log(C_3)\}))^{1/2}&\leq\exp\left(C_4(L(t)-\epsilon/2)^{\varrho_0}+C_5\right)\\ &= \exp\left(C_4(t/(\varrho\sigma))^{\varrho_0/\varrho}+C_5\right).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}t(d_K(f,\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}))^{\varrho/t}\leq&C_6^{\varrho/t}(L(t)-\epsilon/2)^{\frac{\varrho}{t}}t\left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{(t/(\varrho\sigma))^{\varrho^{-1}}-\epsilon/2}\right)^{\frac{(t-l)\varrho}{t}}\\ &\cdot\exp\left(\frac{\sigma+\epsilon}{\sigma}+\left(C_4\left(\frac{t}{\varrho\sigma}\right)^{\varrho_0/\varrho}+C_5\right)\frac{\varrho}{t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Elementary calculus then gives

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} t(d_K(f,\mathcal{P}_{t\psi}))^{\varrho/t}\leq\exp\left(\frac{\sigma+\epsilon}{\sigma}\right)(1+\epsilon)^\varrho\varrho\sigma. \tag{38}$$

Since inequality (38) is true for every $\epsilon > 0$ the result follows.

Now look at the case when φ does not satisfy (i) from Theorem 1.11. Let θ be a Ricci compensator for ψ on $X \setminus F_0$ and let $\tilde{\varphi}_{T_0}$ be as in Lemma 3.6. Clearly $A\tilde{\varphi}_{T_0}$ is also a Ricci compensator for ψ on $X \setminus F_0$ if $A > 0$ is large enough and therefore the function $\varphi_\epsilon = (1-\epsilon)\varphi + \epsilon\tilde{\varphi}_{T_0}$ satisfies (i) from Theorem 1.11. Since $\tilde{\varphi}_{T_0}$ is an exhaustion function we have $\varphi_\epsilon \geq (1-\epsilon)\varphi$ outside a compact subset of X. Then for r large enough we have $\{\varphi_\epsilon \leq \log(r)\} \subset \{\varphi \leq \log(r^{1/(1-\epsilon)})\}$ and $\|f\|_{\{\varphi_\epsilon \leq \log(r)\}} \leq \|f\|_{\{\varphi \leq \log(r^{1/(1-\epsilon)})\}}$ so

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log^+ \log \|f\|_{\{\varphi_\epsilon \leq \log(r)\}}}{\log(r)} \leq \limsup_{s\to\infty} \frac{\log^+ \log \|f\|_{\{\varphi \leq \log(s)\}}}{\log(s^{1-\epsilon})} \leq \frac{\varrho}{1-\epsilon}$$

and

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log \|f\|_{\{\varphi_\epsilon \leq \log(r)\}}}{r^{\varrho/(1-\epsilon)}} \leq \limsup_{s\to\infty} \frac{\log^+ \log \|f\|_{\{\varphi \leq \log(s)\}}}{(s^{1-\epsilon})^{\varrho/(1-\epsilon)}} \leq \sigma.$$

Since φ_ϵ satisfies (i) from Theorem 1.11 so we can apply our previous conclusion and we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} t(d_K(f, \mathcal{P}_{t\psi}))^{\varrho/((1-\epsilon)t)} \leq e\frac{\varrho}{1-\epsilon}\sigma.$$

Since this is true for every $\epsilon > 0$ the result follows.

Now assume φ is ψ-extremal and that inequality (8) is true. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then we can find M such that for any $n \geq M$ there is a function $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{n\psi}$ such that

$$\|f - p_n\|_K \leq \left(\frac{e\varrho\sigma(1+\epsilon)}{n}\right)^{n/\varrho}.$$

Consider the sequence $S = p_M + \sum_{n=M}^{\infty}(p_{n+1} - p_n)$. Clearly we have $S = f$ on K. Moreover, by Lemma 3.7

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\leq |p_M| + \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} |p_{n+1} - p_n| \leq \|p_M\|_K e^{M\varphi} + \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \|p_{n+1} - p_n\|_K e^{(n+1)\varphi} \\
&\leq \|p_M\|_K e^{M\varphi} + \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \left(\|p_{n+1} - f\|_K + \|p_n - f\|_K\right) e^{(n+1)\varphi} \\
&\leq \|p_M\|_K e^{M\varphi} + 2e^{\varphi} \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e\varrho\sigma(1+\epsilon)e^{\varrho\varphi}}{n}\right)^{n/\varrho} \\
&\leq \|p_M\|_K e^{M\varphi} + 2e^{\varphi}\left(1 + 2^{\varrho} e\varrho\sigma(1+\epsilon)\exp\left(\varrho\varphi + \sigma(1+\epsilon)e^{\varrho\varphi}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular we have

$$\|S\|_{\{\varphi \leq \log(r)\}} \leq \|p_M\|_K r^M + 2r(1 + 2^{\varrho} e\varrho\sigma(1+\epsilon)r^{\varrho} e^{\sigma(1+\epsilon)r^{\varrho}})$$

and now it is easy to see that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log \|S\|_{\{\varphi \leq \log(r)\}}}{r^{\varrho}} \leq \sigma(1+\epsilon)$$

and inequality (7) follows by letting $\epsilon \to 0$. It is a matter of simple calculus to show that inequality (6) follows from inequality (7) and we leave that to the reader. □

For the convenience of the reader we prove a known result from linear algebra.

Lemma 3.9. *If A is a square matrix of dimension n and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ are column-vectors of length n then* $\det(A+\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T) = \det(A) + \mathbf{v}^T \operatorname{adj}(A)\mathbf{u}$ *where* $\operatorname{adj}(A)$ *is the adjugate matrix of A.*

Proof. We first proof the Lemma for the special case when $A = \mathbf{I}$ is the identity. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ \mathbf{v}^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}+\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T & \mathbf{u} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ -\mathbf{v}^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{u} \\ 0 & 1+\mathbf{v}^T\mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix},$$

taking the determinant on both sides gives the result. Next, if A is an invertable matrix, by applying the previous result we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A+\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T) &= \det(A)\det(\mathbf{I}+(A^{-1}\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}^T) \\ &= \det(A)(1+\mathbf{v}^T A^{-1}\mathbf{u}) = \det(A) + \mathbf{v}^T \operatorname{adj}(A)\mathbf{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if A is not invertable we get the result by continuity in the coefficients of A. □

We can apply Lemma 3.9 when calculating ω_ψ^N. Let $(z_1, ..., z_N)$ be any local coordinate system and denote by $\mathcal{H}(\psi)$, $\nabla\psi$ the complex Hessian of ψ and the gradient of ψ respectively with respect to the coordinate patch. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\psi^N/N! &= \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^N e^{N\psi} \det(\mathcal{H}(\psi) + \nabla\psi\overline{\nabla\psi}^T) dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge ... \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_N \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^N e^{N\psi} \left(\det \mathcal{H}(\psi) + \overline{\nabla\psi}^T \operatorname{adj}(\mathcal{H}(\psi))\nabla\psi\right) dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge ... \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_N \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^N \frac{e^{N\psi}}{N!} \left((\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^N + \partial\psi \wedge (\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^{N-1} \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi\right). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Proof of Proposition 1.4. From (39) and the maximality of ψ we have

$$\omega_\psi^N = \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^N e^{N\psi} \partial\psi \wedge (\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^{N-1} \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi.$$

For $a > 0$ this gives

$$\omega_{a\psi}^N = a^{N+1} e^{aN\psi} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^N \partial\psi \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^{N-1} \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi = a^{N+1} e^{(a-1)N\psi} \omega_\psi^N \tag{40}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ricci}(\omega_{a\psi}) &= \operatorname{Ricci}(\omega_\psi) - i\partial\bar{\partial}\log(a^{N+1}e^{(a-1)N\psi}) \\ &= \operatorname{Ricci}(\omega_\psi) - (a-1)Ni\partial\bar{\partial}\psi. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

(i) Let θ be a Ricci compensator for ψ on U. If $a \leq 1$ then by equality (41) θ is also a Ricci compensator for $a\psi$. If $a > 1$ then the function $\tilde{\theta} := \theta + (a-1)N\psi$ is a Ricci compensator for $a\psi$ on U. In both cases $a\psi$ is Ricci compensable.

(ii) Assume that ψ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on U and $a < 1$. We have $\omega_{a\psi} \leq \omega_\psi$ so $B_r^{\omega_\psi}(z) \subset B_r^{\omega_{a\psi}}(z)$ for any $z \in U$, $r > 0$. Let

$\delta > 0$, $z \in U$ and let g be a function holomorphic in a neighborhood of the ball $\overline{B}_\delta^{\omega_{a\psi}}(z, U)$. By (40) and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(z)|^2 &\leq e^{C_1\psi^+(z)+C_2} \int_{\overline{B}_\delta^{\omega_\psi}(z,U)} |g|^2 dV_{\omega_\psi} \\
&= a^{-(N+1)} e^{C_1\psi^+(z)+C_2} \int_{\overline{B}_\delta^{\omega_\psi}(z,U)} |g|^2 e^{N(1-a)\psi} dV_{\omega_{a\psi}} \\
&\leq a^{-(N+1)} e^{C_1\psi^+(z)+C_2} \left(e^{\psi(z)/2} + \delta\right)^{2N(1-a)} \int_{\overline{B}_\delta^{\omega_{a\psi}}(z,U)} |g|^2 dV_{\omega_{a\psi}} \\
&\leq e^{C_3(a\psi^+(z))+C_4} \int_{\overline{B}_\delta^{\omega_{a\psi}}(z,U)} |g|^2 dV_{\omega_{a\psi}}
\end{aligned}$$

where C_3 and C_4 are some new constants. We see that $a\psi$ induces an integral estimate for holomorphic functions on U.

(iii) Assume that $a > 1$ and the sublevel sets of ψ have growth rate of order ϱ on U. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\omega_{a\psi}}(\{z \in U;\ a\psi(z) \leq \log(L)\}) &= \int_{\{\psi \leq \log(L^{1/a})\} \cap U} a^{N+1} e^{(a-1)N\psi} dV_\omega \\
&\leq a^{N+1} L^{(a-1)N/a} V_{\omega_\psi}(U \cap \{\psi \leq \log(L^{1/a})\}) \\
&\leq a^{N+1} L^{(a-1)N/a} e^{C_1 L^{\varrho/a} + C_2}
\end{aligned}$$

and we see that the sublevel sets of $a\psi$ have growth rate of order ϱ/a on U. If $a < 1$ and the function ψ is bounded from below on U we get the result by estimating the factor $e^{(a-1)N\psi}$ with some constant M instead of $L^{(a-1)N/a}$. □

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Since η is locally a holomorphic bijection, there is really nothing to proof. All metrics, curvatures and forms are conserved through such mappings. The only thing to note is that since η is not injective integrals and volumes can change, but since η has finitely many fibers, say n, the change is up to at most a factor of n. □

References

[1] A. Aytuna and A. Sadullaev. S^*-parabolic manifolds. *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2(1):6–9, 2011.

[2] A. Aytuna and A. Sadullaev. Parabolic Stein manifolds. *Math. Scand.*, 114(1):86–109, 2014.

[3] Aydın Aytuna and Azimbay Sadullaev. Polynomials on parabolic manifolds. In *Topics in several complex variables*, volume 662 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–22. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.

[4] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor. A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions. *Acta Math.*, 149(1-2):1–40, 1982.

[5] E. M. Čirka. Meromorphic continuation, and the rate of rational approximations in C^N. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 99(141)(4):615–625, 1976.

[6] E. M. Čirka. Rational approximations of holomorphic functions with singularities of finite order. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 100(142)(1):137–155, 166, 1976.
[7] J. P. Demailly. Complex analytic and differential geometry, (version of thursday june 21, 2012). Free accessible book (https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ demailly/documents.html), retrieved 17.11.2015.
[8] J. P. Demailly. Mesures de Monge-Ampère et caractérisation géométrique des variétés algébriques affines. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (19):124, 1985.
[9] A. A. Gončar. Local conditions for the single-valuedness of analytic functions. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 89(131):148–164, 167, 1972.
[10] A. A. Gončar. A local condition for the single-valuedness of analytic functions of several variables. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 93(135):296–313, 327, 1974.
[11] A. A. Gončar. On a theorem of Saff. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 94(136):152–157, 160, 1975.
[12] M. Klimek. *Pluripotential theory*, volume 6 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991. Oxford Science Publications.
[13] W. Rudin. A geometric criterion for algebraic varieties. *J. Math. Mech.*, 17:671–683, 1967/1968.
[14] A. Sadullaev. Estimates of polynomials on analytic sets. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 46(3):524–534, 671, 1982.
[15] J. Siciak. On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 105:322–357, 1962.
[16] J. Siciak. Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in $\mathbf{C}^n$. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 39:175–211, 1981.
[17] H. Skoda. Morphismes surjectifs et fibrés linéaires semi-positifs. In *Séminaire Pierre Lelong-Henri Skoda (Analyse), Année 1976/77*, volume 694 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 290–324. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
[18] T. Winiarski. Approximation and interpolation of entire functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 23:259–273. (errata insert), 1970/1971.
[19] V. P. Zakharyuta. Extremal plurisubharmonic functions, orthogonal polynomials and Bernstein-Walsh theorem for analytic functions of several complex variables. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 33:137–148, 1974 (Russian).
[20] A. Zeriahi. Fonction de Green pluricomplexe à pôle à l'infini sur un espace de Stein parabolique et applications. *Math. Scand.*, 69(1):89–126, 1991.
[21] A. Zeriahi. Approximation polynomiale et extension holomorphe avec croissance sur une variété algébrique. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 63(1):35–50, 1996.
[22] A. Zeriahi. A criterion of algebraicity for Lelong classes and analytic sets. *Acta Math.*, 184(1):113–143, 2000.